		AIR FORCE DI	SCHARGE R	EVIEW BOA	RD	HEARIN	G RECOR	D				
NAME OF SER		GRADE A				AFSN/SSAN						
TYPE GEN PERSONAL APPEARANCE					† :	X I	RECORD R	EVIEW				
NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION					1	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL						
YES No												
X				·			Valua	r (d) mant (kc)				
			HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY					
						,	1,1,1			X		
			:		-			•		A		
										X		
										X		
					+			-		A		
										X		
										X		
ISSUES A94.53 INDEX NUMBER A47.00							AMARIES SO	BOOKETTIED TO	TIPRE (BKO)AVERD			
					1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD							
					2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DIS 3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION				CHARGE			
					4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE							
					<u> </u>	COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE						
						TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING						
HEARING DATE		-										
12 Jan 2012 FD-2010-00375			·									
Application is the	GE ASMO TRAL BYG ARROYS.	operson at gavironemic April 1986	ालकार का उसके असी है। -	(PED) PEROSTE AS	9 JF 25	SCH SELVEN AT	AS DESTRUCTED.	TANAPAN NUE				
Case heard i	n Washington	, D.C.										
Advise appli	icant of the dec	cision of the Board, th	ne right to a n	ersonal anne	arar	nce with/v	zithout cou	asel and the	e right to si	ıhmit an		
application t	o the AFBCM	R.	ie right to a p	crsonar appea	ша	ice with v	inout cour	isei, and th	rigin to st	ionni an		
Names and v	otes will be m	nade available to the a	ipplicant at th	e applicant's	req	luest.						
	· 											
			por T	<u> </u>					Į,			
TO: SAF/MRBR FROM					SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL							
550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742					AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AF B , MD 20762-7001							
AFHQ FORM 0-2077, JAN 00				(EF-V2)					Pre	evious		

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2010-00375

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

ISSUE: Applicant received a General discharge for Unsatisfactory Performance – Irresponsibility in the Performance of Personal Finances

Applicant submitted no issues regarding the inequity or impropriety of her discharge. The record indicates the applicant received one Article 15, two Letters of Reprimand, and a Record of Individual Counseling. Her misconduct included with intent to defraud two airmen issued checks from their accounts without authority payable to the applicant, with intent to defraud twice issued checks from another individuals account without permission of the account holder payable to the applicant, failed to pay military Star Card (2x), failed to pay just debt, made a false statement, abused Government Travel Card (2x), and failed to make payments on Government Travel Card (5x). The Board opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his behavior. They found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board reviewed the entire record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity to warrant an upgrade of the discharge.

The applicant cited her desire to receive the G.I. Bill benefits as justification for upgrade. The DRB noted that when the applicant applied for these benefits, she signed a statement (DD Form 2366, on June 7, 2001) that she understood she must receive an Honorable discharge to receive future educational entitlements. The Board was sympathetic to the impact the loss of these benefits was having on the applicant, but this is not a matter of inequity or impropriety which would warrant an upgrade.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief