		AIR FORCE DIS	CHARGE F	REVIEW BOA	RD	HEA	ARIN	G RECOR	D			
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)					GRADE				AFS	AFSN/SSAN		
TYPE GEN	PERSONAL APPEARANCE				+,	X .	R	ECORD R	EVIEW			
	NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION				+	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL						
YES No												
X								White Control			- Mary Court Standard Court of the Court of	
MEMBER SITTING						HC	М	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
			-								х	
											x	
											Х	
				·							Х	
											X	
ISSUES A94.	ISSUES A94.53 INDEX NUMBER A67.90							Amsaksas	BATHERED RO	ARTERIO ARTO	BALTO IN	
				$\vdash$	1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD							
					-				IEW OF DISCH	ARGE		
					1-	LETTER OF NOTIFICATION BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE						
						COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD						
						ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE						
						TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING						
HEARING DATE		CASE NUMBER										
12 Jan 2012		FD-2010-00348										
	FANDATHERONGOUS DYC	isogas arimaasaasissi Tal	ter grandfill	(esinor Anaroiderso).	(01)	(6[2])(9) (6[2])(9)	urayy :(6),	AD DECISIONAL	RATIONALS - 27			
Case heard in Washington, D.C.												
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.												
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.												
			-									
Time to the same t												
TO:				FROM:		1916. 1						
SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742				, and the second		AIR FO 1535 CC	RCE DIS	THE AIR FORCE CHARGE REVIEV DR, EE WING, 31 MD 20762-7001		UNCIL		
				<u> </u>								

CASE NUMBER

## AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2010-00348

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDING**: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE: The applicant was discharged for Misconduct: Conduct Prejudicial to Good Order and Discipline. Applicant submitted no issues regarding the inequity or impropriety of his discharge. The record indicates the applicant received two Article 15s, two Letters of Reprimand, and one Letters of Counseling. His misconduct included: arrested of base by civilian authorities for DUI, underage drinking, failed to go to dental appointment, failed to maintain dorm room standards, and failure to obey order not to operate a vehicle on a military installation for one year. The DRB opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his negative behavior. The Board concluded the applicant's misconduct was well below the level of conduct expected of all military members. The Board reviewed the entire record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity to warrant an upgrade of the discharge.

**CONCLUSION:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief