| NAME OF SERV | ACE MEMBER A | AIR FORCE DIS | | KEVIEW BUA | KD | | G RECORI | | MOGAN | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------|----------|----------------------------------|---|---|----------|-----------------|------------|------|--------------|
| NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL) | | | | | GRADE | | | | AFSN/SSAN | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TYPE GEN | PERSONAL APPEARANCE | | | | | X RECORD REVIEW | | | | | |
| NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION YES No | | | | | ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL | | | | | | |
| X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | VOTE OF THE BOARD | | | | | |
| MEMBER SITTING | | | | | | HON | GEN | UOTHC | OTHER | DENY | |
| COL STEVEN MUDICIUM | | | | | | | : | | | X*+ | |
| COL | | | | | | | | | | X*+ | |
| LT C MAJ | | | | | | | | | | X*+ | |
| | | | | | | | | | | X*+ | |
| MSG | | | | | | _ | | | | X*+ | |
| ISSUES A94.53 INDEX NUMBER A67.30 | | | | EXMOBILS SUBMERTIED TO THE ROARD | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD 2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE | | | | | | |
| | | | | | COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD | | | | | | |
| | | | | | ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | HEARING DATE |
| 26 Jan 2012 FD-2010-00346 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| APPRICATESSED AND THE BOARD STREETS ON ALEXATIONAL EVARED IS SUSSEDION THAT ALT A CHED ME FOR BEDIST 18-APOLE REVIEW BOARD OF BEING BOARD TO A STREET AND A STREE | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Case heard in | Washington, | D.C. | | | | | | | | | |
| Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an | | | | | | | | | | | |
| application to the AFBCMR. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Narrative Reason and Authority | | | | | | | | | | | |
| +RE Code | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ļ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TO | | INDORSE | AME NIII | FROM: | | Lis i sa | | egynega apsoact | _ _ | | |
| TO: SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742 | | | | | | SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2010-00346

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge, and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The request for the upgrade of the discharge, to change of reason and authority for discharge, and to change of reenlistment code are denied.

ISSUE: Applicant received a General discharge for Misconduct – Commission of a Serious Offense

Applicant submitted no issues regarding the inequity or impropriety of his discharge. The applicant does submit that he would like a second chance despite his discrepancies so that he may serve his country in the Unites States Army. The record indicates the applicant was convicted under a Summary Court Martial. He was found guilty of unlawfully restraining another individual with his body. The Board found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board reviewed the entire record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity to warrant an upgrade of the discharge.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief