	AIR FORCE DISCHARGE F	REVIEW BOARD	HEA	RIN	G RECORI	D			
NAME OF SERVICE		GRADE					AFSN/SSAN		
	DEDCONAL ADDEAD ANCE		DEC.						
TYPE GEN			K						
NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION YES No X		A	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL						
		НО		Vot GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY		
V-2								X	
								X	
	:							X	
,								X	
ISSUES A 02 01	INDEX NUMBER A CT OO				Cities Co	: Viewwood (STATES IN ASSES	X	
A93.01 A01.43	A67.00	200	1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD 2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE						
A92.01		2							
A93.07									
		4	4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD						
			ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF						
			PERSONAL APPEARANCE TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING						
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER								
24 May 2012	FD-2010-00328								
	THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RANGE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ARE	ACTO ARE TORGED SIGNAL	GE REA	EWBOA	RD DECISIONAL	RATIONALE			
Case heard in Washington, D.C.									
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.									
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.									
	<u>-</u>								
						:			
	The state of the s					(Albanos de parteres de como		and the second s	
TO.	No. of the Contract of the Con	FROM:	is.			ABE 67/201	Z i i		
TO: SAF/MRB 550 C STR RANDOLI		SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001							
		1							

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2010-00328

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

ISSUE: Applicant received a General discharge for Misconduct

Applicant contends youth and marital problems contributed to his misconduct. Additionally, he states that his discharge did not take into account the good things he did while in the service. Upon review of the record, the Board was unable to find any documentation regarding the discharge. Due to lack of evidence and supporting documentation to explain the discharge, the Board concludes that the misconduct was a significant departure from the conduct expected of all military members. The Board relies on the presumption of regularity and finds the characterization; reason for discharge and the reenlistment code were appropriate.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief