	AIR FORCE DISCHARGE I	REVIEW BOAKI		III ANNIN	G KECOKI		····		
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)			•	GRADE		AFSI	N/SSAN		
TYPE GEN PERSONAL APPEARANCE			X	R	ECORD RI	EVIEW			
NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION			ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL						
YES No									
X									
_		VOTE OCIHE BOARD							
MEMBER SITTING				HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
			_					X	
								X	
								X	
								Λ	
								X	
								X	
ISSUES A94.53	INDEX NUMBER A66.00		ZEXHUBITSSÜBMITTEDATO THE BOARD						
	•		1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD						
	$\frac{2}{3}$	+	APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE LETTER OF NOTIFICATION						
		$\frac{3}{4}$		BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE					
			[	COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD					
			ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE						
				TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING					
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER		╀						
12 Jan 2012	FD-2010-00303  #SIGNAL RATIONAL FARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATT	Marion Arotokee District	ARG	isseria visavieni	(E) DEENINA	ev (on vie			
Case heard in Washington, D.C.									
Advise applicant of the decis	sion of the Roard, the right to a	narcanal annaara	an/	oo with/u	ithout cour	seel and the	e right to su	ıhmit an	
application to the AFBCMR	sion of the Board, the right to a j	personar appeara	1110	CC WILLIAM	illiout cour	isci, and in	o right to su	ionni an	
rr r									
Names and votes will be made	de available to the applicant at the	he applicant's re	qu	iest.					
								•	
_									
	:						:		
	10X(2000 - 1000)	3.00					Der A		
TO: FROM:				FCDETADY	E TUE AID PODOP	DEDCONNET CO	UNCU		
SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SU		SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNC AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR							
RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742			ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001						

CASE NUMBER

## AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2010-00303

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDING**: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

## ISSUE: Applicant received a General discharge for Misconduct---Drug Abuse

Issue: Applicant submitted no issues regarding the inequity or impropriety of his discharge. The record indicates the applicant received an Article 15 dated 2 July 2009 for wrongful use of marijuana on divers occasions between on or about 15 March 2009 and or about 19 April 2009. Unfortunately, applicant's discharge processing file was unavailable to the Board for review due to being missing from record. Because member' discharge file was unavailable to the Board for review, the Board was unable to conclusively ascertain the nature of misconduct on member's part that formed the basis for the discharge. The Board also could not determine if member consulted counsel or submitted statements in his own behalf at the time of discharge. Since there was no evidence to substantiate any inequities or improprieties, the Board relies on the presumption of regularity and finds the discharge proper and without basis for upgrade.

Applicant contends that he should not be penalized indefinitely for a mistake he made when young. The DRB recognized the applicant was 20 years of age when the discharge took place. However, there is no evidence he was immature or did not know right from wrong. The Board opined the applicant was older than the vast majority of first-term members who properly adhere to the Air Force's standards of conduct. The DRB concluded that the characterization of the applicant's discharge was appropriate due to the misconduct.

**CONCLUSION:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief