

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD

NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)				GRADE		AFSN/SSAN			
TYPE	GEN	PERSONAL APPEARANCE			X	RECORD REVIEW			
COUNSEL		NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION			ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL				
YES	No								
	X								
					VOTE OF THE BOARD				
					HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY
									X
									X
									X
									X
									X
ISSUES		INDEX NUMBER			EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD				
A92.35 A94.05		A67.30			1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD				
					2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE				
					3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION				
					4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE				
					COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD				
					ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE				
					TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING				
HEARING DATE		CASE NUMBER							
27 May 2010		FD-2009-00189							
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE.									
<p>Case heard in Washington, D.C.</p> <p>Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.</p> <p>Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.</p>									
INDORSEMENT				DATE: 6/7/2010					
TO:				FROM:					
SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742				SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001					

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

CASE NUMBER

FD-2009-00189

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUES:

Applicant contends discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh and based on an isolated incident in 29 months of service and the charges were dropped. The records indicated the applicant received a General discharge in accordance with AFR 39-10, paragraph 5.49a(3) for Misconduct, Commission of a Serious Offense; Sexual Perversion. After a thorough review of the applicant's record, the Board concluded that the negative aspects of the applicant's service outweighed the positive contributions he made in his Air Force career. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment:
Examiner's Brief