		AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVI	IEW BOAR	D HEARIN	G RECOR	D			
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)				GRADE Amn		AFSN	AFSN/SSAN		
TYPE GEN	GEN X PERSONAL APPEARANCE				RECORD R	EVIEW			
YES No	COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION			ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL					
Α				VOTE OF THE BOARD					
<del>,</del>	N	MEMBER SITTING		HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
								X*+	
							@	X*	
								X*+	
								X*+	
							and the Column Time of	X*+	
A93.0	1	INDEX NUMBER A67.90		EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD  1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD					
			<u>.!</u>   2	<del></del>		IE BOARD IEW OF DISCH	A DCE		
			3		F NOTIFICATI		ANCIE		
			4		PERSONNEL F				
						O THE BOARD			
			_	PERSONA	I. APPEARANO				
HEARING DATE		CASE NUMBER		TAPE REC	ORDING OF P	ERSONAL APP	EARANCE HE	ARING	
16 Apr 2010		FD-2009-00182							
-	AND THE BOARD'S DEC	TSIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED	AIR FORCE DISCH	ARGE REVIEW B	OARD DECISIONAL	RATIONALE	age Akoli II.		
Case heard in	Washington I	D.C. and Robins AFB, Georgia via v	ideo-teleco	oference				<u> </u>	
sase neara in	washington, i	o.e. and recomb ru b, Georgia via v	ideo tereco	nerence.					
Advise applica	ant of the decis	sion of the Board and the right to sub	omit an app	ication to	the AFBCN	ЛR.			
Names and vo	tes will be ma	de available to the applicant at the ap	pplicant's re	quest.					
*Reenlistme									
+Narrative R									
@Secretarial	i Aumority								
	and the second second second								
		/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /							
		XV							

SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, JRD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001

SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742

TO:

FROM:

## AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2009-00182

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge to Secretarial Authority, and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant appeared and testified before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), with counsel, via video teleconference between Andrews AFB Maryland and Robins AFB, Georgia on 16 Apr 2010. The following additional exhibits were submitted at the hearing:

Exhibit #5: Applicant's Contentions

Exhibit #6: Response to Notification of Discharge

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDING**: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge, the change of reason and authority for discharge, and change of reenlistment code.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by applicant substantiates an impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

## ISSUE:

Issue 1: Applicant contends that he should not be penalized indefinitely for a mistake he made when he was "young and dumb." The applicant was discharged IAW AFI 36-3208, paragraph 5.50.2: A Pattern of Misconduct, Conduct Prejudicial to Good Order and Discipline. The applicant received an Article 15 for failure to go, a Letter of Reprimand for failing to stop at a stop sign and driving on a suspended driver's license, and a second Article 15 for wrongfully transmitting pornographic material on a government computer. The DRB recognized the applicant was 21 years of age when the discharge took place. However, there is no evidence he did not know right from wrong. The Board opined the applicant was older than the vast majority of first-term members who properly adhere to the Air Force's standards of conduct. The DRB concluded that the characterization of the applicant's discharge was appropriate due to the misconduct.

Issue 2: The applicant stated that he did not know that the reenlistment code he received would prevent his reenlistment and had he known, he would have submitted a response to his discharge. The records show that the applicant met with a defense counsel, acknowledged that he was being discharged for conduct prejudicial to good order and discipline, with an under honorable conditions (general) discharge. The applicant's defense counsel, Capt A, signed a memorandum stating that the applicant was fully counseled and advised of his rights prior to waiving his right to submit a statement in response to the discharge. The DRB noted that the applicant had submitted an application for review to the DRB in March 2003, requesting a "record review" of his discharge based on his military personnel file. He did not submit any issues at that time.

**CONCLUSION:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for
upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.
Attachment: Examiner's Brief

· -