NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL.)			GRADE GRADE				AFSN/SSAN		
		,							
TYPE GEN PER	SONAL APPEARANCE	,	K	RI	ECORD R	EVIEW			
YES No X	ND OR ORGANIZATION :		DDRESS	AND OR	ORGANIZATIO	N OF COUNSEL		,	
		238	27.7 25.44		vor	E OF THE BO	ARD		
•			HON	1	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
								X+	
								X+	
							Andrew Commission	X+	
	F* = M + 44 / 44 / 44 / 44 / 44 / 44 / 44 /							X+	
		0.10000000			T. C. L. STORING & M. S. LINE , MANUAL		be 1 21.3 ° 0 '25.55 and	X+	
A94.53	Au10		1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD						
		I —	3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION						
		4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD							
						SUBMITTED .			
			PERSONAL APPEARANCE						
****	-	TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING							
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER								
14 Jul 2010	FD-2009-00181								
1.2.203		ACHED AIR FORCE DISCHAR	GE REVIE	W BOAI	RD DECISIONAL	RATIONALE.			
Case heard in Washington, I	O.C.								
Advise applicant of the decise application to the AFBCMR	sion of the Board, the right to a p	personal appearan	ice wit	h/wi	thout cour	nsel, and th	e right to s	ubmit an	
Names and votes will be made	de available to the applicant at th	ne applicant's req	uest.						
+ Reenlistment Code									
	INDURSEMENT		profit - selli	3 - Jan	n n	ATE: 7/22/2	10	A STAN SA STAN	
TO: SAF/MRBR	FROM:	SECRETA	RY OF	THE AIR FORCE	PERSONNEL CO				
550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742					HARGE REVIEV DR, EE WING, 3F				
	50-4742				1D 20762-7001	DILOOK			

CASE NUMBER

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2009-00181

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge and change of reenlistment code.

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUES:

Applicant submitted no issues regarding the inequity or impropriety of his discharge. The record indicates the applicant received two Article 15s, a Vacation and a Letter of Reprimand for misconduct. His misconduct included failure to report for duty, making false official statements, failure to go (2x) and failure to remain awake while on duty. The Board opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his behavior. They found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board reviewed the entire record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity to warrant an upgrade of the discharge.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attac	hment	::
Exam	iner's	Brief