

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD

NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL) [REDACTED]	GRADE	AFSN/SSAN [REDACTED]
--	--------------	--------------------------------

TYPE GEN	PERSONAL APPEARANCE	X	RECORD REVIEW
-----------------	----------------------------	----------	----------------------

COUNSEL	NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL				
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:50%;">YES</td> <td style="width:50%;">No</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align:center;">X</td> </tr> </table>	YES	No		X		
YES	No					
	X					

VOTE OF THE BOARD					
	HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY
					X
					X
					X
					X
					X

ISSUES A01.00 A95.00	INDEX NUMBER A67.50	EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD
		1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD
		2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE
		3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION
		4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE
		COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD
		ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE
		TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING

HEARING DATE 06 Jul 2010	CASE NUMBER FD-2009-00158	
------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--

APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE.

Case heard in Washington, D.C.

Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.

Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.

INDORSEMENT	DATE: 7/6/2010
TO: SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742	FROM: SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

CASE NUMBER

FD-2009-00158

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge. The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE: Applicant submitted no issues regarding the inequity or impropriety of his discharge. The applicant does submit that he wishes he could erase the mistakes he made while on active duty and would like a second chance despite his discrepancies so that he may obtain employment with the federal government. The record indicates the applicant received three Article 15s, three Letters of Reprimand, and seven Records of Individual Counseling. His misconduct included larceny and receipt of stolen property, dishonorable failure to pay just debts (2x), attempting to pass a check issued on a closed account, failure to report (2x), failure to maintain dress and appearance standards, failure to wear a seatbelt, using a government vehicle to drive to lunch, and writing eleven bad checks. The Board opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his behavior. They found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board reviewed the entire record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity to warrant an upgrade of the discharge.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment:
Examiner's Brief