

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD

NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL) [REDACTED]		GRADE	AFSN/SSAN [REDACTED]
--	--	--------------	--------------------------------

TYPE GEN	PERSONAL APPEARANCE	X	RECORD REVIEW
-----------------	----------------------------	----------	----------------------

COUNSEL		NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL
YES	No		
	X		

MEMBER SITTING	VOTE OF THE BOARD				
	HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY
[REDACTED]					X
[REDACTED]					X
[REDACTED]					X
[REDACTED]					X
[REDACTED]					X

ISSUES A93.17 A93.07 A93.11	INDEX NUMBER A66.00	EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD		
		1	ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD	
		2	APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE	
		3	LETTER OF NOTIFICATION	
		4	BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE	
			COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD	
	ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE			
	TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING			

HEARING DATE 13 May 2010	CASE NUMBER FD-2009-00154
------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE.

Case heard in Washington, D.C.

Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.

Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.

[REDACTED]

INDORSEMENT	DATE: 5/19/2010
TO: SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742	FROM: SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to Honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Issue 1. The applicant acknowledged the "mistake" she made that led to her discharge. The record indicates the applicant received an LOR dated 10 November 2008 for wrongfully using marijuana. The applicant contends she was overwhelmed with stress from her unsuccessful marriage, husband's severe mental issues, and financial obligations. Although the applicant has no previous disciplinary infractions, drug abuse is incompatible with military service. The Board concluded the misconduct was significant departure from conducted expected of all military members. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment:
Examiner's Brief