			AIR FORC	E DISCHARGE R	EVIEW BOAI	RD H	IEARING	G RECORI)			
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)						GRADE			AFS	AFSN/SSAN		
						AIC						
TYPE GEN PERSONAL APP			SONAL APPEA	ARANCE		X RECORD RE			EVIEW	VIEW		
COLINGER			O OR ORGANIZATION				SS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL					
YES	No											
	X			***		1989 - 155 - 1	.,					
MEMBER SITTING							<u> </u>		E OF THE BO	T		
TABLE OF THE OF							HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
											X*+	
											X*+	
											X*+	
											V#)	
	에 하는데 보통하는 데 할 것 같습니다. 보통하는 것 같은 사람들이 되었습니다. 그 사람들이 되었습니다. 그 사람들이 되었습니다.						and the second s				X*+	
막다. 현대로 제공축을 취임하고 하다											X*+	
ISSUES	A93.	.19	INDEX NUMBER	Λ69.00		EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD						
	A94	.05						POINTING TH				
									IEW OF DISCI	IARGE		
						_		NOTIFICATI ERSONNEL I				
						COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD						
						ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE						
									ERSONAL API	PEARANCE HE	EARING	
HEARIN	G DATE		CASE NUMBER			-						
24 Jun 2010 FD-2009-00143				43								
	ANT'S ISSU	E AND THE BOARD'S DEC	 ISIONAL RATIONALE A	RE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTA	ACHED AIR FORCE DIS	CHARG	E REVIEW BO	ARD DECISIONAL	_RATIONALE.		. Na a delos estado	
 	.p. 383	n Washington, L				2-	x		1330 -x			
Casc	ncaru n	i wasiinigion, L	J.C.									
Advis	e appli	cant of the decis	sion of the Boa	ard, the right to a p	oersonal appea	aranc	e with/w	ithout cou	insel, and th	ne right to s	ubmit an	
applic	ation to	the AFBCMR.	•									
Name	e and v	otes will be med	da availabla ta	the applicant at th	aa annliaant'a	*00	ant					
Ivamic	s and v	otes will be mad	de avaliable to	ше аррисані аі п	ie applicant s	requ	iest.					
*Rea	son an	d Authority										
		ent Code										
1.4				·	Ţ				ia kanalia di			
(
				NT		nga ja	e el esperante de	And the state of t	DATE: #116121	110		
TO:	, د د		Constitution of the consti		FROM:	. 11. 	erberter:	ETHE ME TOT	TE DEDUCATION A	WESTER		
	SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40					A	AR FORCE DI	F THE AIR FORG SCHARGE REVII D DR, EE WING,		CONCIL		
		DOLPH AFB, TX 78						3, MD 20762-7001				
AFUO FORM 0 2077, IAN 00												

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2009-00143

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge, and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge, change of reason and authority for discharge, and change of reenlistment code are denied.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Applicant contends discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh. He further contends as a result of being sexually assaulted by another airman it resulted in his drinking of alcohol and ADAPT failure. The records indicated the applicant received a General Discharge in accordance with AFI 36-3208, paragraph 5.32.1.2 for Failure in Alcohol Abuse Treatment. The DRB opined that the applicant had ample opportunities to change his negative behavior. The Board concluded that the negative aspects of the applicant's service outweighed the positive contributions he made in his Air Force career. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

The Board highly recommends that if the applicant can provide additional documented information to substantiate his issuc(s), that he should consider exercising their right to make a personal appearance before the Board. If the applicant chooses to exercise this right, he should be prepared to provide the DRB with factual evidence of the inequity/impropriety and any exemplary post-service accomplishments as well as any contributions to the community.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief