

**AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD**

<b>NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)</b> [REDACTED]	<b>GRADE</b> [REDACTED]	<b>AFSN/SSAN</b> [REDACTED]
--	----------------------------	--------------------------------

<b>TYPE</b>	<b>GEN</b>	<b>PERSONAL APPEARANCE</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>RECORD REVIEW</b>
<b>COUNSEL</b>		<b>NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION</b>	<b>ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL</b>	
<b>YES</b>	<b>No</b>			
	<b>X</b>			

VOTE OF THE BOARD				
HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY
				X
				X
				X
				X
				X

<b>ISSUES</b> A94.05	<b>INDEX NUMBER</b> A67.30	<b>EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD</b>														
		<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>1</td><td>ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>LETTER OF NOTIFICATION</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING</td></tr> </table>	1	ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD	2	APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE	3	LETTER OF NOTIFICATION	4	BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE		COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD		ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE		TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING
1	ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD															
2	APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE															
3	LETTER OF NOTIFICATION															
4	BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE															
	COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD															
	ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE															
	TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING															

<b>HEARING DATE</b> 05 Aug 2010	<b>CASE NUMBER</b> FD-2009-00138
------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE.

Case heard in Washington, D.C.

Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.

Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.

<b>TO:</b> SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742	<b>NT</b>	<b>FROM:</b> SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001
		<b>DATE: 8/13/2010</b>

**AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE**

CASE NUMBER

**FD-2009-00138**

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDING:** The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

**ISSUE:**

Although not explicitly stated, applicant contends discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh. He contends there were no grounds during the Special Court Martial to warrant a General discharge. The records indicated the applicant had a Special Court Martial for writing checks with insufficient funds in his account and failure to maintain funds in his account. He was punished with a reduction in rank to Airman Basic and confinement. The Board concluded that the negative aspects of the applicant's service outweighed the positive contributions he made in his Air Force career. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

**CONCLUSION:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment:  
Examiner's Brief