	AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REV	IEW BOARD HE	ARING	RECORI)			
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)		GRADE			AFS	AFSN/SSAN		
TYPE GEN	PERSONAL APPEARANCE	X RECORD RE			EVIEW	/IEW		
YES No X	E OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL						
		-VOTE OF THE BOARD						
MEMBER SITTING		Ho	ON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
							X*+	
							X*+	
						X*+		
						X*+		
							X*+	
ISSUES A95.00	INDEX NUMBER A67.90	EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD						
A01.00		1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD						
A93.01		2 APPI	2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE					
		-						
			4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD					
			ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF					
			PERSONAL APPEARANCE					
		TAP	TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING					
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER							
01 Jul 2010	FD-2009-00116							
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND	THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED	AIR FORCE DISCHARGE RE	VIEW BOAR	W DECISIONAL I	RATIONALE.			
Case heard in Wa	shington, D.C.							

Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.

Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.

- * Reason and Authority
- + Reenlistment Code

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2009-00116

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge, and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge, change of reason and authority for discharge, and change of reenlistment code are denied.

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Issue 1. Applicant submitted no issues regarding the inequity or impropriety of his discharge. The applicant does submit that he believes he deserves a second chance despite his discrepancies so that he may serve his country in another branch of the armed forces. The record indicates the applicant received an Article 15, a vacation of suspended punishment, a Letter of Reprimand, two Letters of Counseling and two Records of Individual Counseling. His misconduct included failure to go (3x), dereliction in the performance of his duty, grooming standards, and making provoking speech. He also feigned a leg injury to avoid doing PT. The Board opined that through the administrative actions taken, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his behavior. They found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board reviewed the entire record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity to warrant an upgrade of the discharge.

Issue 2. Applicant infers that he should not be penalized indefinitely for a mistake he made when young. The DRB recognized the applicant was 20 years of age when the discharge took place. However, there is no evidence he was immature or did not know right from wrong. The Board opined the applicant was the same age as the vast majority of first-term members who properly adhere to the Air Force's standards of conduct. The DRB concluded that the characterization of the applicant's discharge was appropriate due to the misconduct.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment:

Examiner's Brief