
AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD								
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)		GI	GRADE			AFSN/SSAN		
		· A	AB					
	SONAL APPEARANCE	X	X RECORD REVIEW					
YES No X		ADDR	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL					
MEMBER SITTING			VOIE OF THE BOARD					
		Į.	HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
							X	
							X	
							X	
							X	
							x	
A95.00 A01.00	INDEX NUMBER A67.90		EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD					
		 - 1	1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD					
		 	2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE 3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION					
		I——	4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE					
		co	COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD					
			ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE					
		TA	TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING					
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER				· · ·			
24 Jun 2010	FD-2009-00106							
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DEC	ISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACH	ED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE I	REVIEW BOA	RD DECISIONAL	RATIONALE.	e ve		

Case heard in Washington, D.C.

Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.

Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.



AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2009-00106

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Applicant submitted no issues regarding the inequity or impropriety of his discharge. The applicant does submit that he believes he deserves a second chance despite his discrepancies so that he may serve his country in the South Carolina Air National Guard. The record indicates the applicant received an Article 15 and a Summary Court Martial. His misconduct included failure to go and driving under the influence of alcohol. The Board opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his behavior. They found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board reviewed the entire record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity to warrant an upgrade of the discharge.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief