	AIR FORCE DISCHARGE R	CEVIEW BOAR	_		G RECOR				
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)			GRADE			AFSN/SSAN			
			AMN						
TYPE GEN PERSONAL APPEARANCE		X	X RECORD REVIEW						
COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION			ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL						
YES No									
X			344		vot	E OF THE BOA	RD	A SECURE	
		Ĺ	IION GEN			UOTHC OTHER DENY			
777		· · ·						X	
					1				
								X	
								X	
						C.			
		W.C.L						X	
								X	
ISSUES A92.21	INDEX NUMBER A67.10				EXHIBITS SU	BMITTED TO	THE BOARD		
A92.21 A92.01	A07.10		1 (ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD					
A94.05				APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE					
				LETTER OF NOTIFICATION BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE					
			-	COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD					
				ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE					
			\rightarrow			ERSONAL APPE	EARANCE HE	ARING	
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER		-						
01 Jul 2010	FD-2009-00093		İ						
	ISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATT	ACHED AIR FORCE DISC	HARG		OARD DECISIONAL	RATIONALE.			
Case heard in Washington, D.C.									
The state of the s									
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an									
application to the AFBCMR.									
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.									
	••	• •	٠						
		_							
	5.								
	<u> </u>								
770	INDORSEMENT	A STATE		. Andre	Çirin İ	OATE: 7/1/2010	O Carlos	. 528%	
TO: SAF/MRER		FROM:	s	SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD					
550 C STREET WEST, SU RANDOLPH AFB, TX 781			1:	AIN FORCE DESCRING REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001					
						- remarkable		(EWILL)	

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2009-00093

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUES:

Issue 1. Applicant contends discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh. The records indicated the applicant received an Article 15, six Letters of Reprimand, three Letters of Counseling, and a vacation of suspended punishment. The DRB opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his negative behavior. The Board concluded that the negative aspects of the applicant's service outweighed the positive contributions he made in his Air Force career. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

Issue 2. Applicant infers that his discharge did not take into account the good things he did while in the service. The DRB took note of the applicant's duty performance as documented by his performance reports, letters of recommendation and other accomplishments. They found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board concluded the discharge was appropriate for the reasons which were the basis for this case.

Issue 3. The DRB was pleased to see that the applicant was doing well and has a good job. However, no inequity or impropriety in his discharge was suggested or found in the course of the hearing. The Board concluded the misconduct of the applicant appropriately characterized his term of service.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment:

Examiner's Brief