AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL) GRADE AFSN/SSAN TYPE GEN PERSONAL APPEARANCE RECORD REVIEW COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL YES No X VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBER SITTING HON GEN UOTHC OTHER DENY X X X X EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD ISSUES INDEX NUMBER A01.00 A67.30 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE LETTER OF NOTIFICATION BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING HEARING DATE CASE NUMBER 27 May 2010 FD-2009-00090 APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE. Case heard in Washington, D.C. Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR. Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request. TQ: SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL SAF/MRBR AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EF WING, 3RD FLOOR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742 ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2009-00090

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to Honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Applicant submitted no issues regarding the inequity or impropriety of his discharge. The record indicates the applicant received two Articles 15s and a Letter of Reprimand for misconduct. His misconduct included willfully consuming alcohol while under the legal drinking age, committing an indecent assault upon a female airman and fraternizing with two security police technical training students in his dorm room while assigned as an instructor to the 343rd Squadron. The Board concluded the applicant's misconduct was a significant departure from conduct expected of all military members. No inequity or impropriety in his discharge was found in the course of the records review. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief