NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)		GRA	GRADE			AFSN/SSAN		
	-	AB						
THE GEN	TENSUNAL ATPEARANCE	X	RECOR	D REV	/TEW			
YES No X	DUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION	ADDRES	S AND OR ORGANI	ZATION O	F COUNSEL			
				VOTE	F THE BO	ARD		
		TI(	N GE	N	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
		.,					X*+	
							X*+	
							X*+	
	<u> </u>					~~~	X*+	
							X*+	
A94.05	INDEX NUMBER A67.30		EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD					
		1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD						
			3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION  4 PRICE OF PERCAPAGE FILE					
			4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD					
			ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF					
			PERSONAL APPEARANCE					
		TAPI	TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING					
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER							
22 Jul 2010	FD-2009-00075							
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOA	RD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHEL	AIR FORCE DISCHARGE RE		IONAL RAT	TIONALE.			
Case heard in Washing	eton DC			98000000000000000000000000000000000000				

Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.

- \*Reason and Authority
- +Reenlistment Code

INDORSEMENT

TO:

SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742 FROM:

SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR. EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001

## AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2009-00075

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge, and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDING**: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge, change of reason and authority for discharge, and change of reenlistment code.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

## ISSUES:

Although not explicitly stated, applicant contends discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh and that he has served his time for his mistakes. He further expressed that he desired to have his discharge upgraded so that he may be eligible to reenlist into the armed forces. The records indicated the applicant received a General Court Martial for assaulting a female by striking and grabbing her with his hands and physically controlling a motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol. He was disciplined with a reduction in grade to Airman Basic, confinement for 180 days, forfeiture of pay, and 30 days of extra duty. The Board concluded that the negative aspects of the applicant's service outweighed the positive contributions he made in his Air Force career. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

**CONCLUSION:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief