	AIR FORCE DISCHARGE	REVIEW BOAL	RD I	HEARIN	G RECORI)			
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (GRADE			AFS	AFSN/SSAN			
`		A1C							
TYPE GEN PERSONAL APPEARANCE			X	F	RECORD REVIEW				
NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION		ſ	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL						
YES No X									
A	** A ship of all and a shape of A of the ship of the ship				<i>े (छत्र</i> सः	e (oxe idere iero	(AM T OD)		
				HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
								X*+	
								X*+	
								X*+	
			778.4.4.4.		7.5*			X*+	
								X*+	
ISSUES A01.43	INDEX NUMBER A84.00						े (१९६८) क्षेत्रकार १६५६) हे विकास		
			_		POINTING THE ON FOR REVI		- JAPOE	*******	
			-		NOTIFICATION		IAROI;		
		•			ERSONNEL FI				
			_		S RELEASE TO AL EXHIBITS				
			\rightarrow		APPEARANC				
				TAPE RECO	ORDING OF PE	ERSONAL API	PEARANCE HE	ARING	
HEARING DATE 24 Jun 2010	CASE NUMBER								
	FD-2009-00066	ा ५८१ ३४२० - ५४ ० च्यक्तसङ्ग्रहास	00 12 VEG	i pomen	Appen injer its room, i	E COMPANY FEE			
Case heard in Washington,	DC	ar and depart or many complete, the types of present and absorbed	New Years of sec						
Advise applicant of the decapplication to the AFBCM Names and votes will be m *Reason and Authority	cision of the Board, the right to a				rithout cour	nsel, and th	ne right to su	ıbmit an	
+ Reenlistment Code							. 5		
	TROJOJI SPIJANJENITI				ii e	MAX 11.10p - Shipheshia	P ath.		
TO: SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742		FROM:	A 1:	ECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL IIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR INDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001					

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2009-00066

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to Honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge, and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: Upgrade of the discharge, change of reason and authority for discharge, and change of reenlistment code are denied.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Applicant was discharged for unsatisfactory participation from Grissom Air Reserve Base (ARB). Applicant stated on DD form 293 he could not find full time employment in Indiana or Ohio near his reserve unit; however the applicant stated he did find employment in Iowa and requested a transfer to the 132nd Iowa Air National Guard, but the transfer was denied. The Board was unable to find any documentation regarding the discharge. Due to lack of evidence and supporting documentation to explain the discharge, the Board concludes that the misconduct was a significant departure from the conduct expected of all military members. The Board relies on the presumption of regularity and finds the characterization; reason for discharge and the reenlistment code were appropriate.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief