

# AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD

NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL) <div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 20px;"></div>				GRADE <b>A1C</b>		AFSN/SSAN <div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 20px;"></div>			
TYPE GEN		PERSONAL APPEARANCE			<b>X</b>		RECORD REVIEW		
COUNSEL		NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION			ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL				
YES      No									
<b>X</b>									
					<b>VOTE OF THE BOARD</b>				
					HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY
									X
									X
									X
									X
									X
ISSUES <b>A94.05</b>		INDEX NUMBER <b>A67.10</b>			<b>EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD</b>				
					<b>1</b> ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD				
					<b>2</b> APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE				
					<b>3</b> LETTER OF NOTIFICATION				
					<b>4</b> BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE				
					COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD				
					ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE				
					TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING				
HEARING DATE <b>27 May 2010</b>		CASE NUMBER <b>FD-2009-00059</b>							
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE.									
<p>Case heard in Washington, D.C.</p> <p>Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.</p> <p>Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.</p>									
<b>INDORSEMENT</b>				<b>DATE: 01/11/2010</b>					
<b>TO:</b> SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742				<b>FROM:</b> SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001					

**AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE**

CASE NUMBER

**FD-2009-00059**

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDINGS:** The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

**ISSUES:**

Although not explicitly stated, applicant contends her discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh. She submits that she was misinformed at the time of her discharge and that she could have been separated due to pregnancy. The records indicated the applicant received eight Letters of Reprimand and two Letters of Counseling for misconduct. Her misconduct consisted of failing to report for duty (4x), failure to pay debts (3x), playing games with another airman, disobeying an order and neglecting the mission, being involved with domestic disturbance, and violating dorm room security. The DRB opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change her negative behavior. The Board concluded that the negative aspects of the applicant's service outweighed the positive contributions she made in her Air Force career. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

**CONCLUSIONS:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment:  
Examiner's Brief