

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD

NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL) [REDACTED]	GRADE AMN	AFSN/SSAN [REDACTED]
--	---------------------	--------------------------------

TYPE GEN	PERSONAL APPEARANCE	X	RECORD REVIEW
-----------------	----------------------------	----------	----------------------

COUNSEL	NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL
YES	No	
	X	

MEMBER SITTING	VOTE OF THE BOARD				
	HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY
[REDACTED]					X**
[REDACTED]					X**
[REDACTED]					X**
[REDACTED]					X**
[REDACTED]					X**

ISSUES A95.00 A01.00	INDEX NUMBER A67.10	EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD
		1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD
		2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE
		3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION
		4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE
		COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD
		ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE
		TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING

HEARING DATE 29 Apr 2010	CASE NUMBER FD-2009-00057	
------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--

APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE.

Case heard in Washington, D.C.

Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.

Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.

*Reason and Authority
+Reenlistment Code

[REDACTED SIGNATURE]

INDORSEMENT	DATE: 5/19/2010
TO: SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742	FROM: SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

CASE NUMBER

FD-2009-00057

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge, and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge, change of reason and authority for discharge, and change of reenlistment code.

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Issue 1. Applicant submitted no issues regarding the inequity or impropriety of his discharge. The applicant expressed a desire to have his discharge upgraded so that he may be eligible to reenlist into the armed forces. The record indicates the applicant received an Article 15, a Vacation, four Letters of Reprimand, two Letters of Counseling and two Memorandums for Record for misconduct. His misconduct included failure to report (4x), failure to obey an order (3x), underage drinking, dereliction in the performance of his duty, and being late for work. The Board opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his behavior. They found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board reviewed the entire record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity to warrant an upgrade of the discharge.

Issue 2. The applicant cited his desire to receive the G.I. Bill benefits as justification for upgrade. The DRB noted that when the applicant applied for these benefits that he understood he must receive an Honorable discharge to receive future educational entitlements. The Board was sympathetic to the impact the loss of these benefits was having on the applicant, but this is not a matter of inequity or impropriety which would warrant an upgrade.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment:

Examiner's Brief