			AIR FORCE DISCHA	RGE REVIEW BO	ARD HE	EARIN	G RECOR	D			
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)					GRADE			AFSI	AFSN/SSAN		
					AMN -			-	-		
TYPE GEN PEI			SONAL APPEARANCE		$\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}}$	RECORD REVIEW					
COUNSEL		NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION			ADDR	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL					
YES	No										
	X						VOE	E OF THE BO	. Dn		
					Į	ION	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
					 					X	
										Λ	
										X	
										v	
										X	
					*					X	
			•							х	
ISSUES	404	ا	INDEX NUMBER				 EXHIBITS SU	BMITTED TO	THE BOARD		
A94. A92. A92.			A67.10		1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD						
		03									
					3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION 4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE						
					COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD						
					ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE						
						TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING					
HEARING DATE			CASE NUMBER					···			
27 May 2010			FD-2009-00046								
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED.				THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE D	ISCHARGE R	EVIEW BO	ARD DECISIONAL	RATIONALE.			
Case l	neard in	Washington, D	.C.			<u> </u>					
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.											
Name	s and vo	otes will be mad	le available to the applica	int at the applicant'	s reques	st.					
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.											
			p								
INDORSEMENT TO: FROM:											
SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40						FORCE DI	SCHARGE REVIE		UNCIL		
		OOLPH AFB, TX 781					D DR, EE WING, 3 3, MD 20762-7001	ORD FLOOR			

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2009-00046

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Issue 1. Although not explicitly stated, applicant contends his discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh. He admits that his lack of maturity and experience were factors in him not being successful in the Air Force. The records indicated the applicant received an Article 15, a Vacation, two Letters of Reprimand, and a Record of Individual Counseling for misconduct. His misconduct included holding an alcoholic beverage while underage, failure to report for duty, failure to complete CDC's, leaving work and not returning, rude behavior, negative attitude, being late for duty, making a false statement (2x), and failing to update personnel records. The DRB opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his negative behavior. The Board concluded that the negative aspects of the applicant's service outweighed the positive contributions he made in his Air Force career. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

Issue 2. Applicant states that his discharge did not take into account the good things he did while in the service. The DRB took note of the applicant's duty performance as documented by his performance reports, letters of recommendation and other accomplishments. They found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board concluded the discharge was appropriate for the reasons which were the basis for this case.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief