| | AIR FORCE DISCHARGE | REVIEW BOA | RD | HEARI | NG RECORI | D | | | |
|---|--|---------------------|---|--|---|--------------|-----------|-------|--|
| NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL) | | | GRADE | | | AFSI | AFSN/SSAN | | |
| | | | | | | • . | | | |
| TYPE GEN PERSONAL APPEARANCE | | | 7 | x | RECORD R | EVIEW | | | |
| NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION | | | , | ADDRESS AND | RESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL | | | | |
| YES No | | | | | | | | | |
| X | N. | 11 aPin | Name of the least | ental television i ser | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | _ | | | HON | GEN | UOTHC | OTHER | DENY | |
| | | | | | | | | X*+ | |
| | | | | | | | | X*+ | |
| | | | | | | | | X*+ | |
| | | | | | | | | X*+ | |
| | <u>.</u> | ! | | | | | | X*+ | |
| ISSUES A94.01 | INDEX NUMBER A67.10 | | ACAHOBIIS SUBMICTION TO THE BROARD | | | | | | |
| A93.01 | | | | ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE | | | | | |
| | | | | | OF NOTIFICATION | | ARGE | | |
| | | | 4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| HEARING DATE | CASE NUMBER | | | | | | | , ,,, | |
| 07 Apr 2010 | FD-2009-00030 | | | | | | | | |
| APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DEC | ISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATI | ACHED AIR FORCE DIS | CHAR | GE REVIEW E | IOARD DECISIONAL | RATIONALE. | | i ay | |
| Case heard in Washington, D.C. | | | | | | | | | |
| Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR. | | | | | | | | | |
| Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request. | | | | | | | | | |
| *Reason and Aut | | | | | | | | | |
| +Reenlistment Co | ode | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | į | | |
| | | | | | | | ! | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | A STANDARS DIVISAR | Angel Commence | | | D | ATE: 4/7/201 | | | |
| TO: SAF/MRBR | | | | SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL VIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD | | | | | |
| 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742 | | | | 1535 COMMA | DISCHARGE REVIEV ND DR, EE WING, 3F FB, MD 20762-7001 | | | | |
| KAMPOLITARD, IX /8: | | | | | entemplant | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2009-00030

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge, and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge, change of reason and authority for discharge, and change of reenlistment code

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Applicant contends that he should not be penalized indefinitely for a mistake he made when "young and dumb." The applicant was discharged IAW AFI 36-3208, paragraph 5.49: Misconduct: Minor Disciplinary Infractions and received an under honorable conditions (general) discharge. The applicant received: nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for assaulting his wife, by kicking her in the stomach and violating a no-contact-order; a Letter of Counseling for dereliction of duty by calling his wife at his duty section and arguing with her at the duty section; and two Letters of Reprimand for being disrespectful to his supervisor and being absent from his duty section without approval. The DRB recognized the applicant was 19 years of age when he enlisted in the Air Force and 21 years of age when the discharge took place; however, there was no reason that applicant could not properly adhere to the Air Force's standards of conduct. The DRB concluded that the characterization of the applicant's discharge was appropriate due to the misconduct.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief