<u> </u>	AIR FORCE DISCHARGE	REVIEW BOARD	DH	IEARING	RECORE	) 				
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)			GRADE				AFSN/SSAN			
			AMN							
TYPE GEN PEI	PE GEN PERSONAL APPEARANCE			X RECORD REVIEW						
COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION			ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL							
YES No										
X	COMP AND	LSX.			VOTI	OF THE BOA	RD			
A NEW WAY AND AND COMMUNICATION OF		: 1 7	HON GEN			UOTHC OTHER DENY				
	····							x		
								X		
								х		
								X		
								X		
ISSUES A93.01	INDEX NUMBER A67.10	92.1 600.0	EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD							
A94.53	AU7.1U	1	ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE							
		$\frac{3}{4}$	_							
			С	COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD						
				ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE						
			_	TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING						
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER				and the same of th					
17 Dec 2009	FD-2008-00588									
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S D	BCISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE AT	TACHED AIR FORCE DISCHA	ARGI	E REVIEW BOA	RD DECISIONAL	RATIONALE.				
Case heard in Washington,		The second of th		,						
Advise applicant of the dec application to the AFBCMI	ision of the Board, the right to a	personal appeara	anc	e with/w	ithout cour	nsel, and the	right to su	ibmit an		
application to the Al Beith	ν.									
Names and votes will be m	ade available to the applicant at t	the applicant's re	qu	est.						
		$\overline{}$								
V	NT (Set :			·	·			NOT THE WAY AND THE		
то:		FROM:				DEDCONTE CO	NO.			
SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40			SECRETARY OF THE AIR FONCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR							
RANDOLPH AFB, TX 7					MD 20762-7001					

## AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2008-00588

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDINGS**: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

**ISSUE**: Although the applicant does not specifically contend that his discharge was inequitable, he requested an upgrade based upon his contention that he joined the military before he was ready and made some bad decisions, but he has since corrected his faults. The applicant does not want the discharge characterization to negatively affect the rest of his life.

The record indicated the applicant received the following corrective action:

- 1. 28 Feb 07, Letter of Reprimand (LOR): Failing to report to duty on time.
- 2. 5 Mar 07, LOR: Failure to report to duty on time and with a proper uniform.
- 3. 19 Mar 07, LOR: Reporting late for duty.
- 4. 25 Oct 07, LOR: Unlawfully used salvia.
- 5. 30 Oct 07, Art 15: 4 specifications of Failure to go and dereliction of duty.

Applicant contends that he should not be penalized indefinitely for a mistake he made when younger, specifically because he joined the military before he was ready. The DRB recognized the applicant was 19 years of age when the discharge took place. However, there is no evidence that he did not know right from wrong. The Board opined the applicant was no different than the vast majority of first-term members who properly adhere to the Air Force's standards of conduct. The DRB concluded that the characterization of the applicant's discharge was appropriate due to the misconduct, especially his unlawful use of *salvia*.

**CONCLUSIONS:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment:

Examiner's Brief