NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)						GRADE				AFSN/SSAN		
						AMN						
TYPE			PERSONAL APPEA	RANCE		X		RECORD R	EVIEW			
	COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION					ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL						
YES P												
	X						* W	· · · · · · ·	E OF THE DO	A ToTo	11_711	
BATTA ATT OF A TO STATE OF A T						18,7.6	HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
						ļ		02.1	Come	OTTLER	X	
										A CONTRACTOR	X	
									***************************************	18 T TOWN	X	
											x	
											X	
ISSUES A93.01 INDEX NUMBER A67.10							EXHIBITS SU	BMITTED TO	THE BOARD			
						1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD						
							2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE 3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION					
							3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION 4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE					
							COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE					
						-			ERSONAL APP	EARANCE HE	EARING	
HEARING DA	TE		CASE NUMBER	,						and the second of the second of the second		
13 Jan 2010 FD-2008-0058				0								
APPLICANT'	S ISSUE	AND THE BOAR	D'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE AR	E DISCUSSED ON THE ATT	ACHED AIR FORCE DI	SCHAR	GE REVIEW B	OARD DECISIONA	L RATIONALE	v 1721 - 1		
		Washingt		·			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
		cant of the the AFBC	decision of the Boar CMR.	d, the right to a $_{\parallel}$	personal appe	aran	ce with/	without cou	insel, and th	e right to si	ubmit an	
Names ar	nd v	otes will be	e made available to t	he applicant at t	he applicant's	req	uest.					
											'	
					T							
					+							
					er en			eri mananan	. I	u n		
TO:			INDC	DRSEMENT	FROM:	1000		1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	DATE: 1/15/20			
:	550 C	AF/MRBR 50 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 ANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742					SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001					
		 								,		

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2008-00580

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE: The applicant acknowledged that he had great leadership while in the Air Force, but he did not take advantage of it. He stated that he was "young and very foolish for making mistakes," which caused his discharge. The applicant was discharged under AFI 36-3208, paragraph 5.49, Misconduct: Minor Disciplinary Infractions. During his two years and three months of service, the applicant received three Records of Individual Counseling (RICs), three Letters of Reprimand (LOR), an Armed Forces Traffic Ticket and nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for offenses ranging from failure to go and dereliction of duty to underage drinking.

The applicant did not raise any issue of inequity or impropriety; however he focused on his youth for making foolish mistakes while in the Air Force. The DRB recognized the applicant was 20 years of age when most of the misconduct took place; however, the applicant continually failed to adhere to Air Force standards despite rehabilitative efforts by the Air Force. The Board reviewed the entire record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity in this case on which to base an upgrade of his discharge. The DRB concluded that the characterization of the applicant's discharge was appropriate due to the misconduct.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief