		AIR FORCE DISCF	-	EVIEW BOA	Kυ		G RECORI		1.00.4.31		
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)					GRADE				AFSN/SSAN		
						A1C					
TYPE GEN PERSONAL APPEARANCE COUNSEL* NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION				,	K R	ECORD R	EVIEW		•		
				ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL							
X											
						also de la companya	VOT	E OF THE BO	ARD	A CANADA	
	N	MEMRER SITTING				HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
		_								X*+	
		_								X*+	
						10.				X*+	
		-								X*+	
										X*+	
ISSUES A94.55 INDEX NUMBER A67.10					er Jaar I	XHIBITS SÜ	BMITTED TO	THE BOARD			
				1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD							
					<u> </u>						
					4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE						
						COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD					
							AL EXHIBITS : APPEARANC	SUBMITTED A E	AT TIME OF		
						TAPE RECO	RDING OF PE	ERSONAL APP	EARANCE HE	ARING	
HEARING DATE		CASE NUMBER		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
01 Dec 2009		FD-2008-00556									
APPLICANT'S ISSU	E AND THE BOARD'S DEC	ISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSE	D ON THE ATTAC	CHED AIR FORCE DIS	CHAF	GE REVIEW BO	ARD DECISIONAL	RATIONALE			
Case heard in	n Washington, I	D.C.		, , , ,							
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.											
Names and v	otes will be ma	de available to the appl	icant at the	e applicant's	req	uest.					
*Reason an +Reenlistm	d Authority ent Code										
		·									
		110000	NT -					ATE: 12/2/20	09		
TO:	MDDD			FROM:		SECRETARY OF		PERSONNEL CO	JNCIL	2	
550 C	MRBR STREET WEST, SU DOLPH AFB, TX 781					AIR FORCE DIS	CHARGE REVIEV DR, EE WING, 31	VBOARD			

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2008-00556

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge, and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

ISSUE: From the DD Form 293, the applicant contends discharge was inequitable because he was given inadequate time and consideration to correct his behavior.

FINDINGS: The Board denics the upgrade of the discharge, change of reason and authority for discharge, and change of reenlistment code.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

Applicant contends discharge was inequitable because his last nonjudicial punishment issued under Article 15, UCMJ, consisted of 6 months probation, but he was not allowed to serve those 6 months to prove himself. The records indicated the applicant received two Article 15s, three Letters of Reprimand, and three Letters of Counseling for misconduct. His misconduct included assault, failure to go at the time prescribed to his place of duty (3x), leaving his appointed place of duty without authority (2x), smoking a cigarette while checking I.D. cards, expressing a complete disregard for policies and procedures in the performances of his Security Forces duties, and failure to be available to be reached in a timely manner during an exercise recall that he knew was going to take place. The DRB opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his negative behavior. The Board concluded that the negative aspects of the applicant's service outweighed the positive contributions he made in his Air Force career. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant, the reason and authority for discharge, and the reenlistment code were all found to be appropriate.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief