AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD										
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)				GRADE			AFSN	AFSN/SSAN		
				AIC						
TYPE GEN	GEN X PERSONAL APPEARANCE				I	RECORD R	EVIEW			
COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION			ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL							
YES No										
X						VOT	one Tue Do	DB		
				HON GEN UOTHC OTHER DENY						
		*****			11014	GEN	Come	OTHER		
									Х	
									x	
									х	
									х	
									х	
ISSUES A94.	11	INDEX NUMBER A67.30			建门门	EXHIBITS SU	BMITTED TO	THE BOARD		
A92.21		A61.00		_	ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD					
					APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE					
				3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION 4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE						
				COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD						
				ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE						
			ш	TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING						
HEARING DATE		CASE NUMBER		П						
08 Dec 2009		FD-2008-00553								
APPLICANT'S ISSUE	AND THE BOARD'S DEC	ISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTA	ACHED AIR FORCE DIS	CHAR	GE REVIEW BO	OARD DECISIONAL	RATIONALE			
Case heard in Washington, D.C.										
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.										
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.										
•			Ī							
		INDORSEMENT	190			, K	ATE: 12/10/2	009		
TO: SAF/MRBR			FROM:	1039b9	SECRETARY (OF THE AIR FORCE	E PERSONNEL COU	JNCIL	ingdatti.9 valenninnin kannin k	
550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742				AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, SRD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001						
KANL	JOLIH AFB, 1X 781	30-4742			ANDREWS AF	o, MID 20/02-/001				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2008-00553

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge, and to change the reenlistment code.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

The applicant appeared and testified before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), without counsel, at Andrews AFB on 08 Dec 2009.

The following additional exhibits were submitted at the hearing:

Exhibit #6: Applicant's Letters to the Discharge Review Board & Board of Corrections for Military

Records

Exhibit #7: Military Re-entry Codes

Exhibit #8: State of North Carolina Expungement Petition

Exhibit #9: Applicant's Resume

Exhibit #10: Transcripts from UNC Pembroke and CCAF

Exhibit #11: Student Evaluation from Pembroke Social Work Program Exhibit #12: Certificates of Recognition and Achievement (4 certificates)

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge. Change of reason and authority for discharge and change of reenlistment code are also denied.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUES: The applicant contends discharge was inequitable based on the following reasons below.

Issue 1. Applicant contends his discharge should be upgraded based upon his post service conduct. The DRB was pleased to see that the applicant was doing well and successfully raised two daughters. However, no inequity or impropriety in his discharge was suggested or found in the course of the hearing. The Board concluded the misconduct of the applicant appropriately characterized his term of service.

Issue 2. Applicant contends his commander's actions in discharging him were arbitrary and capricious. The applicant stated that while deployed to Germany, he made borderline insubordinate comments to his commander regarding his commander's decision to send him home based on perceived inadequacy of his childcare arrangements. The applicant stated that from that point forward, the commander sought to punish him and discharge him from the Air Force. The DRB concluded that the applicant did not seem to fully appreciate that he had a court-martial conviction for assaulting his ex-wife, a civilian conviction for communicating a threat to his ex-girlfriend, and an Article 15 for violating a no contact order. The DRB concluded that the only reasonable course of action for the applicant's commander, based on the applicant's disciplinary history, was to seek the applicant's discharge. This was especially true as the applicant's conviction was based on domestic violence. The applicant had full opportunity to present his case to a discharge board and the discharge board ultimately concluded the applicant should be discharged with a general service characterization. Based upon the applicant's history, the DRB felt the applicant was fortunate to get so favorable a discharge characterization. The DRB found no inequity or impropriety in the applicant's commander's actions.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant provided full administrative due process.								
In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.								
Attachment: Examiner's Brief								