

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD

NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL) <div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 20px;"></div>				GRADE AB		AFSN/SSAN <div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 20px;"></div>	
TYPE GEN		PERSONAL APPEARANCE		X RECORD REVIEW			
COUNSEL YES No		NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION		ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL			
X							
MEMBER SITTING				VOTE OF THE BOARD			
				HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER
							X
							X
							X
							X
							X
ISSUES A94.05		INDEX NUMBER A66.00		EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD			
				1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD			
				2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE			
				3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION			
				4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE			
				COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD			
				ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE			
				TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING			
HEARING DATE 01 Dec 2009		CASE NUMBER FD-2008-00535					
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE.							
<p>Case heard in Washington, D.C.</p> <p>Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.</p> <p>Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.</p>							
TO: SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742				FROM: SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001			

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

CASE NUMBER

FD-2008-00535

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Applicant contends discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh he only had one incident in 30 months of service with no other adverse actions. The records indicated the applicant received two Article 15s for misconduct. His misconduct consisted of stealing an infant dress from AAFES and wrongful use of marijuana. The DRB opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his negative behavior. The Board concluded that the negative aspects of the applicant's service outweighed the positive contributions he made in his Air Force career. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment:

Examiner's Brief