AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD											
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)				GRA	\DE		AFS	AFSN/SSAN			
			AB								
TYPE GEN PERSONAL APPEARANCE		,	ĸ	R	ECORD R	EVIEW					
COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION			A	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL							
YES No											
X											
			VOTE OF THE BOARD			8 2					
			HON (GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY			
									X		
			+-								
									X		
									х		
							_		Λ		
									X		
									v		
				c		200			X		
A94.05	A67.30			EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD							
			_	ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE							
						NOTIFICATI	111017				
			4	BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE							
				COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD							
				ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE							
					TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING						
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER										
01 Dec 2009	FD-2008-00472										
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DEC	SIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTA	CHED AIR FORCE DISC	CHAR	GE REV	VIEW BOA	ARD DECISIONAL	RATIONALE.				
Case heard in Washington, D.C.											
	ion of the Board, the right to a p	ersonal appea	ıran	ice w	vith/w	ithout cour	nsel, and the	right to su	bmit an		
application to the AFBCMR.											
Names and votes will be made evailable to the applicant at the applicant at the applicant a											
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.											
				_	_	_		_			
	\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\										
TO:	I No Marian Marian	FROM:			. 2 1.464-5						
SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742			SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD								
				1535 CO	OMMAND	DR, EE WING, 31 MD 20762-7001					

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2008-00472

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Although not explicitly stated, applicant contends his discharge was inequitable because he only made one mistake and his discharge was too harsh. The records indicated the applicant had a Special Court Martial for being absent without leave for 37 days and possession of marijuana. He was punished with confinement for six months, forfeiture of pay for 6 months, and reduction in grade to Airman Basic. The Board concluded that the negative aspects of the applicant's service outweighed the positive contributions he made in his Air Force career. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief