	AIR FORCE DISCHARGE I	REVIEW BOARD	HE	ARING	G RECORI)			
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)			GRADE				AFSN/SSAN		
			A1C						
TYPE	PERSONAL APPEARANCE	X	K	R	ECORD R	EVIEW	110		
COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION VES No X		A	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL						
MEMBER SITTING		42:	VOTE OF THE BOARD						
			HON GEN			UOTHC			
								X	
								Х	
								X	
							*****	X	
								X	
ISSUES A92.21	INDEX NUMBER A66.00	The state of the s	EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD						
A94.05									
		-	2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE						
		 	3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION 4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE						
			COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD						
			ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE						
			TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING						
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER								
01 Dec 2009	FD-2008-00466								
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE.									
Case heard in Washington, D.C.									
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.									
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.									
		Ţ							
TO: SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40			AIR FO	ORCE DISC	THE AIR FORCE CHARGE REVIEN DR, EE WING, 3		INCIL		
RANDOLPH AFB, T				MD 20762-7001					

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2008-00466

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge. . .

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

Issue 1. Although not explicitly stated, applicant contends his discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh. He had just returned from Saudi Arabia and his wife was having an affair. He turned to marijuana to cope with the pain and reality. The records indicated the applicant received three Article 15s and a Letter of Reprimand for misconduct. His misconduct consisted of wrongful use of marijuana, failure to go (2x), and dereliction of duty by failing to follow the work schedule and modification procedures. After review of the record, the Board found no evidence to indicate that the applicant did not know right from wrong or that in his 15 years of service was unaware of the Air Force policy of zero tolerance to drug use. The Board found the negative aspects of the willful misconduct outweighed the positive aspects of the applicant's performance and concluded that the discharge was appropriate.

Issue 2. The DRB was pleased to see that the applicant was doing well and has a good job work for the post office. However, no inequity or impropricty in his discharge was suggested or found in the course of the hearing. The Board concluded the misconduct of the applicant appropriately characterized his term of service.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief