| AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|--|------------------------------------|--|-------------|-----------|--------------------|---|--|--|-------------------|---|--|--|-------------|--|
| NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL) | | | G | GRADE | | | AFSN/SSAN | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | AMN | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TYPE GEN PERSONAL APPEARANCE | | | X | R | RECORD REVIEW | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION | | | ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| YES No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| X | | | | | VOTI | E OF THE BO | DARD | All and the second | | | | | | | | | |
| MEMBER SITTING | | | | | | UOTHC | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | _ | - | | _ | х | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| ISSUES A94.54 | INDEX NUMBER A67.10 | | | - 1 | EXHIBITS SUI | BMITTED T | THE BOARD | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 1101 | | | | 1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE 3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION 4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | HEARING DATE CASE NUMBER | | | $\dashv \uparrow$ | - | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 29 Jul 2009 FD-2008-00303 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DEC | ISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED OF | N THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE | DISCHARGE | REVIEW BO | OARD DECISIONAL | RATIONALE. | | | | | | | | |
| Case heard in Washington, D.C. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SIGNATURE OF RECORDER | | SIGNATURAGE | POADA PDI | FCINENT | n | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | INDORSEMENT | | state to the same | | | DATE: 7/29/ | 2009 | | | | | | | | | | |
| TO: | INDURSEMENT | FROM: | | | Marie Barrell | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SU | ITE 40 | | Al | R FORCE DI | OF THE AIR FORCE SCHARGE REVIE OD DR, EE WING, 3 | W BOARD | COUNCIL | | | | | | | | | | |
| RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742 | | | | | B, MD 20762-7001 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2008-00303

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: Upgrade of discharge is approved. The characterization of discharge is upgraded to honorable.

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board identified inequity based on irregularity that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Applicant submitted no issues and requested that the review be completed based on the available service record. The Board reviewed the entire record and found evidence of inequity compared to similar cases reviewed. The record indicates the applicant received one Record of Individual Counseling, two Letters of Counseling and three Article 15s. His misconduct included failure to go, failure to wear seat belt while operating a government vehicle, insufficient funds/poor check writing practices, dereliction of duty (x2) and leaving appointed place of duty without proper authority. However, based on case history for similar instances, the Board concluded the characterization should be upgraded to reflect a more consistent pattern. The discharge itself was not brought into question, only the characterization.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was inconsistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation but was still within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that the overall quality of applicant's service is more accurately reflected by an Honorable discharge under the provisions of Title 10, USC 1553.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief