

# AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD

NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)				GRADE  SRA		AFSN/SSAN	
TYPE GEN		PERSONAL APPEARANCE		X		RECORD REVIEW	
COUNSEL YES No		NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION		ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL			
X							
MEMBER SITTING				VOTE OF THE BOARD			
				HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER
							X
							X
							X
							X
							X
ISSUES A93.11		INDEX NUMBER A67.10		EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD			
				1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD			
				2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE			
				3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION			
				4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE			
				COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD			
				ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE			
				TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING			
HEARING DATE 29 Jul 2009		CASE NUMBER FD-2008-00301					
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE							
<p>Case heard in Washington, D.C.</p> <p>Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.</p> <p>Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.</p>							
SIGNATURE OF RECORDER				SIGNATURE OF BOARD PRESIDENT			
INDORSEMENT				DATE: 7/29/2009			
TO:				FROM:			
SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742				SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001			

## AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2008-00301

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDINGS:** Upgrade of discharge is denied.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

**ISSUE:**

Applicant contends discharge was inequitable because the infraction occurred during his second term of service which followed an "outstanding" first term. The records indicated the applicant received two (2) letters of reprimand and one (1) Article 15. His misconduct included issuing two bad checks totaling \$1,057.25, failure to pay an outstanding debt to a merchant and falsifying the signature of a field grade officer on a loan application. The DRB opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his negative behavior. The Board concluded that the negative aspects of the applicant's service outweighed the positive contributions he made in his Air Force career.

**CONCLUSIONS:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.

Attachment:  
Examiner's Brief