

**AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD**

<b>NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)</b>	<b>GRADE</b>  <b>AMN</b>	<b>AFSN/SSAN</b>
--	--------------------------------	------------------

<b>TYPE</b>	<b>GEN</b>	<b>PERSONAL APPEARANCE</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>RECORD REVIEW</b>
-------------	------------	----------------------------	----------	----------------------

<b>COUNSEL</b>	<b>NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION</b>	<b>ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL</b>
<b>YES</b>	<b>No</b>	
	<b>X</b>	

<b>MEMBER SITTING</b>	<b>VOTE OF THE BOARD</b>				
	HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY
					X
					X
					X
					X
					X

<b>ISSUES</b> A92.35 A94.05	<b>INDEX NUMBER</b> A67.90	<b>EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD</b>
		<b>1</b> ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD
		<b>2</b> APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE
		<b>3</b> LETTER OF NOTIFICATION
		<b>4</b> BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE
		COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD
		ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE
		TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING

<b>HEARING DATE</b> 29 Jun 2009	<b>CASE NUMBER</b> FD-2008-00240	
------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--

APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE.

Case heard in Washington, D.C.

Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.

Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.

<b>SIGNATURE OF RECORDER</b>	<b>SIGNATURE OF BOARD PRESIDENT</b>
------------------------------	-------------------------------------

**INDORSEMENT** **DATE:** 7/1/2009

<b>TO:</b> SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742	<b>FROM:</b> SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001
--	---

**AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE**

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDINGS:** Upgrade of discharge is denied.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

**ISSUE:**

Issue 1. Applicant contends discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh and it was based on two isolated incidents within a 30-month period. The Board found the actions committed by the applicant specifically: failure to perform specific duties and wrongful obtainment of government telephone services (for which he received an Article 15 for both offenses), and finally, a failure to go his appointed place of duty (resulting in vacation of his non-judicial punishment) demonstrate actions and individual characteristics which are incompatible with good order and discipline in the Air Force. After thorough review of the record, the Board found no evidence that would warrant an upgrade of the discharge and determined that the discharge was appropriate.

Issue 2. The applicant cited his desire to receive the G.I. Bill benefits as justification for upgrade. The DRB noted that when the applicant applied for these benefits, he signed a statement (DD Form 2366, on February 18, 1997) that he understood he must receive an Honorable discharge to receive future educational entitlements. The Board was sympathetic to the impact the loss of these benefits was having on the applicant, but this is not a matter of inequity or impropriety which would warrant an upgrade.

**CONCLUSIONS:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.

Attachment:  
Examiner's Brief