		AIR FORCE DISCHARGE R	REVIEW BOA	RD	HE.	ARIN	G RECOR	ED .				
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)					GRADE				AFSN/SSAN			
TYPE	PER	SONAL APPEARANCE		X	,	R	ECORD I	REVIEW				
COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION				-			AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL					
YES No Rev Louis Christian Base Counseling				00								
X	Rev Louis Cn	ristian base Counseling										
MEMBER SITTING				- 100			1	E OF THE BOARD				
				_	Н	ON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY		
										X		
										X		
				-						^		
										X		
										X		
				┡						^		
										X		
l		INDEX NUMBER A67.10		EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD.								
		A66.00		\mathbf{H}		PER APPOINTING THE BOARD						
				-		PLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE TTER OF NOTIFICATION						
				1		EF OF PERSONNEL FILE						
					COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD							
					ı	DITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF SONAL APPEARANCE						
				П	TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING							
HEARING DATE		CASE NUMBER										
25 Jun 2009		FD-2008-00198										
APPLICANT'S ISSU	E AND THE BOARD'S DEC	ISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATT	ACHED AIR FORCE DIS	CHAR	GE RE	EVIEW BOA	ARD DECISIONA	L RATIONALE.		arm and arms are		
Case heard in Washington, D.C.												
	cant of the decise the AFBCMR	sion of the Board, the right to a p	personal appea	aran	ice v	with/w	ithout co	unsel, and the	he right to	submit an		
аррисацов и	o the Arbevik	•										
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.												
SIGNATORE OF RE	CCORDER		SIGNATURE OF BO	APD	PDFCI	DENT						
, ,												
		INDORSEMENT	L man-	, 100				DATE: 7/8/20	009			
SAF/MRBR			FROM:				F THE AIR FOR CHARGE REVI	CE PERSONNEL C EW BOARD	OUNCIL			
550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742					1535 C	COMMANI	D DR, EE WING, , MD 20762-7001	3RD FLOOR				

(EF-V2)

Previous

AFHQ FORM 0-2077, JAN 00

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2008-00198

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason for discharge and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: Upgrade of discharge, change of reason for discharge and change the reenlistment code.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Applicant submitted that he is ready to move on and put his past behind him. The record indicates the applicant received three Records of Individual Counseling, a Letter of Reprimand and two Article 15s with a Vacation action. The misconduct included failing to complete leave authorization form, violation of dress and appearance, reporting late for duty, failure to follow directions, failure to pay debt (two occasions) and wrongful use of marijuana. The Board opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his behavior. They found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board concluded the discharge was proper and equitable.

The applicant states he would like his discharge upgraded to utilize G.I. Bill benefits. The Board noted that when the applicant applied for these benefits, he signed a statement understanding that he must receive an Honorable discharge to receive any future educational entitlements. The Board was sympathetic to the impact the loss of these benefits was having on the applicant, but this is not a matter of inequity or impropriety which would warrant an upgrade.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge characterization should not be changed.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief