

**AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD**

NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL) <div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 15px;"></div>	GRADE <b>AB</b>	AFSN/SSAN <div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 15px;"></div>
--	--------------------	--

<b>TYPE</b>	<b>GEN</b>	<b>PERSONAL APPEARANCE</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>RECORD REVIEW</b>
<b>COUNSEL</b>		NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL	
YES	No			
	<b>X</b>			

MEMBER SITTING	VOTE OF THE BOARD				
	HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY
<div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 100px;"></div>					X
<div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 100px;"></div>					X
<div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 100px;"></div>					X
<div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 100px;"></div>					X
<div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 100px;"></div>					X

ISSUES <b>A95.00</b>	INDEX NUMBER <b>A67.10</b>	<b>EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD</b>
		<b>1</b> ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD
		<b>2</b> APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE
		<b>3</b> LETTER OF NOTIFICATION
		<b>4</b> BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE
		COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD
		ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE
		TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING

HEARING DATE <b>29 Jun 2009</b>	CASE NUMBER <b>FD-2008-00171</b>
------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE.

Case heard in Washington, D.C.

Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.

Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.

**X ≠ UPGRADE, REASON FOR DISCHARGE, AND REENLISTMENT CODE**

SIGNATURE OF RECORDER <div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 20px;"></div>	SIGNATURE OF BOARD PRESIDENT <div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 20px;"></div>
--	---

<b>INDORSEMENT</b>		<b>DATE: 7/16/2009</b>
TO: SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742	FROM: SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001	

**AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE**

CASE NUMBER

**FD-2008-00171**

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for discharge and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDINGS:** Upgrade of discharge, to change the reason and authority for discharge and to change the reenlistment code are denied.

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

**ISSUE:**

The applicant submitted that she was told that the disciplinary action she received while she was in technical school would be pulled from her personnel files. She noted that she received an Article 15 for underage drinking while she was in technical school. The Board noted that the case file did not contain an Article 15 for underage drinking. The applicant was discharged for Misconduct, Minor Disciplinary Infractions, with a general discharge under honorable conditions. Her misconduct consisted of two Article 15s and two Records of Individual Counseling for using a government credit card for unofficial purposes, violating a no-contact order, failing to safeguard government property (military identification card) and failing to prepare for deployment. The Board reviewed the entire record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity in this case on which to base an upgrade of the discharge. The Board opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change her behavior. They found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance during her two and half years in the Air Force. The Board concluded the characterization and the reenlistment code appropriate for the reasons which were the basis for this case.

**CONCLUSIONS:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.

Attachment:  
Examiner's Brief