AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD														
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)							GRADE				AFSN	I/SSAN		
							SRA							
TYPE GEN PERS			PERSO	NAL APPEARAN	ICE		X		RECORI	REVIEW	7			
cou	NSEL	NAME O	F COUNSEL AND O	COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION			ĄI	DDRESS	DRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL					
YES	No X	-												
							VOTE OF THE BOARD							
MEMBER SITTING								HON GEN UO			HC	OTHER	DENY	
													X	
													х	
													х	
													Х	
													X	
ISSUES	A94	53 INDEX NUMBER			A67.30		EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD							
						-								
						2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARM 3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION								
						1 								
								COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD						
							ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE							
									APE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING					
HEARIN	G DATE		(ASE NUMBER										
30 Jun 2009			1	FD-2008-00166										
APPLIC	ANT'S ISSU	E AND THE	E BOARD'S DECISIO	NAL RATIONALE ARE DISCU	JSSED ON THE ATTA	CHED AIR FORCE DIS	CHAR	GE REVI	EW BOARD DECISION	NAL RATIONAL	E.			
Case 1	neard in	n Wash	nington, D.C											
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.														
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.														
SIGNAT	TURE OF RE	CORDER	1		1	SIGNATURE OF BO	ARD F	RESIDE	NT 1					
				INDORSEN	MENT					DATE: 7	/7/200	9		
то:		INDONSEMENT				FROM:								
SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742						SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSON AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOO ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001				EL CO	UNCIL			
AFHO FORM 0-2077, JAN 00						(EF-V2)						Pr	revious	

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2008-00166

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: Upgrade of discharge is denied.

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

The applicant cited his desire to receive the G.I. Bill benefits as justification for upgrade. However, the Board reviewed the entire record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity in this case on which to base an upgrade of the discharge. The record indicates the applicant received a general court-martial conviction for wrongful appropriation of government computers, among other offenses. The serious nature of the offenses for which the applicant was court-martialed offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board concluded the characterization of the applicant's discharge was appropriate.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief