| AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|------------------|---|----------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-------|--|
| NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL) | | | GRADE | | | AFSN | AFSN/SSAN | | |
| | | AB | | | | | | | |
| TYPE GEN PERSONAL APPEARANCE | | | X | [] | RECORD REVIEW | | | | |
| COUNSEL YES No X NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION | | | ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL | | | | | | |
| MEMBER SITTING | | | VOTE OF THE BOARD | | | | | | |
| | | | | HON | GEN | UOTHC | OTHER | DENY | |
| | | | | | | | | X | |
| | | | | | | | | X | |
| _ | _ | | | | | | | X | |
| _ | | | | | | | | X | |
| | | | | | | | | X | |
| ISSUES A93.07 INDEX NUMBER A67.90 | | | | | | UBMITTED TO THE BOARD | | | |
| | | | 1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD 2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE | | | | | | |
| | | | \vdash | | TER OF NOTIFICATION | | | | |
| | | | 4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE | | | | | | |
| | | | \vdash | | S RELEASE TO | | ### #F 65 | | |
| | | | | | AL EXHIBITS : L APPEARANC | | T TIME OF | | |
| | | | | TAPE REC | ORDING OF PE | RSONAL APP | EARANCE HE | ARING | |
| HEARING DATE | CASE NUMBER | | | | | | | | |
| 18 Jun 2009 | FD-2008-00155 | | | | | | | | |
| APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE. | | | | | | | | | |
| Case heard in Washington, D.C. | | | | | | | | | |
| Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR. | | | | | | | | | |
| Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Wita | | | | | | | | |
| P 144 | | | | | | | | | |
| SIGNATURE OF RECORDER | | SIGNATURE OF BOA | ARD P | RESIDENT | | | | | |
| U | INDORSEMENT | | | | D | ATE: 6/26/20 | 09 | | |
| TO: SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SU RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78 | FROM: | 1 | SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001 | | | | | | |

CASE NUMBER

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2008-00155

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: Upgrade of discharge is denied.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

The applicant contends that her husband at the time was unable to adapt to military life and had continual involvement with the military and civilian police; which led to domestic spousal problems and eventually her discharge. The applicant has since then remarried and desires to have her discharge upgraded to have better employment opportunities. The record indicates that the applicant received a Letter of Counseling for failing to control her dependent; two Letters of Reprimand for failing to obey a no contact order and for involvement in a robbery and assault with attempt to commit robbery; and an Article 15 for failing to obey a not contact order. After review of the record, the Board found no evidence of inequity or impropriety that would warrant an upgrade of the discharge.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief