			AIR FORCE DISCHARGE	REVIEW BOA	RD	HEAR	RING RECOR	LD			
NAME		GRADE				AFSN/SSAN					
		A1C									
ТҮРЕ		PER	PERSONAL APPEARANCE		X		RECORD REVIEW				
COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL			ND OR ORGANIZATION		ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL						
YES No X											
		VOTE OF THE BOARD									
			HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY				
										x	
										х	
										х	
										х	
										X	
ISSUES	A94.	.11	INDEX NUMBER A67.10	_	EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD						
					1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD 2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE						
					-		R OF NOTIFICAT		ARGE		
					_		RIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE				
							COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD				
							DDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF ERSONAL APPEARANCE				
						TAPE R	ECORDING OF	PERSONAL APP	EARANCE HE	ARING	
HEARING	G DATE		CASE NUMBER								
	n <b>2009</b>		FD-2008-00154								
APPLIC	ant's issu	E AND THE BOARD'S DE	CISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE A	TTACHED AIR FORCE DIS	CHAR	GE REVIE	W BOARD DECISIONA	AL RATIONALE	1.284		
Case l	Case heard in Washington, D.C.										
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.											
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.											
arrange arrang											
SIGNATURE OF RECORDER SIGNAPURE OF BOARD PRESIDENT											
SIGNAI	SIGNAZUKE DIKBO	SIGNAPORE OR BOARD PRESIDENT									
Ų			INDORSEMENT					DATE: 6/30/2	009		
то:	FROM:	SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001									
	_	_									

## AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2008-00154

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDINGS**: Upgrade of discharge is denied.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

## ISSUE:

The applicant contends that his discharge was inequitable because it was based on his commander not wanting him dating a stripper. The record indicates that the applicant received 1) three Letters of Reprimand for violating dress and appearance standards by wearing a tongue ring while in uniform and for driving his vehicle on a runway taxiway without a pass and for reckless driving; and 2) two Article 15s for failing to go at time prescribed to his appointed place of duty and dereliction in performance of his duty by negligently failing to take action to schedule and complete his required flight physical within time prescribed. After review of the record, the Board found that the applicant submitted no evidence, nor was there any in the record, to indicate that he was discharged based on his dating situation. The Board concluded that the negative aspects of the applicant's service outweighed the positive contributions he made in his Air Force career. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

**CONCLUSIONS:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief