AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD															
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)							GRADE					AFSN/SSAN			
TYPE GEN			PER	SONAL APPE	ARANCE		7	K	R	ECORD R	EVIEW				
COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION							ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL								
YES No	The American Legion						Queen Baker 1608 K Street NW Washington DC 20006								
		VOTE OF THE BOARD													
		HON GEN			GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY							
					_								х		
 													х		
													х		
_													Х		
													X		
ISSUES A94.05 INDEX NUMBER A67.30							T	. I	XHIBITS SU	BMITTED TO	THE BOARD				
						<u> </u>	ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD								
						_	_	APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE LETTER OF NOTIFICATION							
						1-	_	BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE							
							+	OUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD							
							1	ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE							
							TAPE RECORDING OF PI			ORDING OF P	ERSONAL APP	RSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING			
HEARING DATE				CASE NUMBER											
29 Jul 2009 FD-2008-00109															
APPLICANT'S IS	BOARD'S DEC	ISIONAL RATIONALE A	RE DISCUSSED ON THE ATT	ACHED AIR FORCE DIS	CHAI	RGE R	EVIEW BO	ARD DECISIONAL	RATIONALE						
Case heard in Washington, D.C.															
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.															
Names and	vot	tes w	ill be ma	de available to	the applicant at the	he applicant's	rec	lues	st.						
SIGNATURE OF RECORDER SIGNAT							ARD	PRESI	IDENT	Λ		•			
(
INDORSEMENT TO: FROM:											DATE: 7/29/20	W9			
TO:	PROBI.	SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001													
550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742															

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2008-00109

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: Upgrade of discharge is denied.

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Applicant contends discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh. The records indicated the applicant received a discharge for misconduct. No specific evidence of the misconduct or rationale was discovered during the record review. Although the applicant did suggest that the commander, at the time, was acting with regard to race in an unfair manner; however, no specific support to that end was submitted by the applicant. The DRB opined that through presumption of regularity that no impropriety or inequity occurred in this case based on the records and submissions.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.

Attachment:

Examiner's Brief