AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD										
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)				GRADE			AFSN	AFSN/SSAN		
				AMN						
TYPE GEN PERSO		SONAL APPEARANCE		X RECORD REV			EVIEW	IEW		
COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION				ADI	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL					
YES No X										
A						VOTE	OF THE BOA	RD		
MEMBER SITTING					HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
									X	
		-							X	
									X	
-									X	
					S. SHE				X	
A93.01		INDEX NUMBER A67.00	F-	EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD 1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD						
			F	-	APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE					
				3 L	LETTER OF NOTIFICATION					
			_	4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE						
				_	COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF					
				-	PERSONAL APPEARANCE TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING					
				T	TAPE RECO	ORDING OF PE	RSONAL APP	EARANCE HE	ARING	
HEARING DATE		CASE NUMBER								
30 Jun 2009		FD-2007-00424	CHED AID FORCE DISC	WAR O	E DEVIEW DO	A DE DECICION AL	BATION ALD		AND TOTAL	
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE.										
Case heard in Washington, D.C.										
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.										
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.										
		/								
SIGNATURE OF RECORDER A SIGNATURE OF BOARD PRESIDENT										
		INDORSEMENT			10.00	D	ATE: 7/17/20	09		
TO: FROM: SECRETARY OF THE AIR PORCE DEPOSON							•			
SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40				SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR						
RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742					NDREWS AFE	, MD 20762-7001				

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2007-00424

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: Upgrade of discharge is denied.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Issue 1. Applicant contends discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh. He admits that he made mistakes and has expressed a desire to have his discharge upgraded to better his financial situation as a single parent. The records indicated the applicant received an Article 15 and four Letters of Reprimand for misconduct. His misconduct consisted of failure to obey a no-contact order, negligently placing his eight month old child in danger by leaving it unattended for approximately 30-40 minutes, made a false official statement, underage drinking, speeding, failure to go (twice), failure to adhere to dress and appearance standards and failure to follow rules and regulations. The DRB opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his negative behavior. The Board concluded that the negative aspects of the applicant's service outweighed the positive contributions he made in his Air Force career. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

Issue 2. The applicant cited his desire to receive the G.I. Bill benefits as justification for upgrade. The DRB noted that when the applicant applied for these benefits, he understood he must receive an Honorable discharge to receive future educational entitlements. The Board was sympathetic to the impact the loss of these benefits was having on the applicant, but this is not a matter of inequity or impropriety which would warrant an upgrade.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.

Attachment:

Examiner's Brief