•				AIR	FORCE D	ISCHARGE	REVIEW BOA	RD	HEARIN	G RECORI	D			
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)								GRADE				AFSN/SSAN		
								AMN						
TYPE C	EN	X	PER	SONAL	APPEAR.	ANCE		RECORD REVIEW						
CQUINSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION								ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL						
YES No X														
										VOT	E OF THE BO	ARD	· Alles and	
MEMBER SITTING									HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
													х	
												х		
												Х		
												Х		
													X	
ISSUES	A92	.01		INDEX NUMBER A67.10					J	EXHIBITS SU	BMITTED TO	THE BOARD	1	
A94.05								ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD						
				ļ				3	APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE LETTER OF NOTIFICATION					
				ļ		4	BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE							
									COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD					
							ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE							
									TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING					
HEARING DATE CASE NUMBER								\dagger						
18 Jui	2009			FD-2006-00403										
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTA							TACHED AIR FORCE DI	SCHA.	RGE REVIEW BO	ARD DECISIONAL	RATIONALE	11.		
Case h	neard in	n Wa	shington, I	D.C.									P46 19 8	
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.														
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.														
X=Change Reason and Authority and Reenlistment Code														
. /	\neg					<u>/</u>								
					INDOR	SEMENT				D	ATE: 8/11/20	09	- 李宝宝	
TO;	CAE	SAF/MRBR							SECRETARY O	F THE AIR FORCE	E PERSONNEL COI	UNCIL		
550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742								AIR FORCE DIS 1535 COMMAN	SCHARGE REVIEN D DR, EE WING, 31 B, MD 20762-7001	W BOARD				
	KAN	ואוטט	IAFD, IA /8	JU -1 /44					AE H3 AFE	., 20/02-/001				
								_	_					

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2006-00403

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge, and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: Upgrade of discharge, change of reason and authority for discharge, and change of reenlistment code are denied.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Issue 1. Applicant contends discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh. She has expressed a desire to have her discharge upgraded so that she may be eligible to reenlist into the armed forces. The records indicated the applicant received an Article 15, three Letters of Reprimand, two Letters of Counseling, and two Records of Individual Counseling for misconduct. Her misconduct included wrongfully possessing with intent to deceive an instrument purporting to be an AF Form 73, Visitor/Vehicle Pass, failure to obey a lawful order, failure to go (2x), traffic ticket, being late for work and arriving out of uniform, dereliction of duty, and disrespect towards an NCO and members of the unit (2x). The DRB opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change her negative behavior. The Board concluded that the negative aspects of the applicant's service outweighed the positive contributions she made in her Air Force career. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

Issue 2. Applicant states that her discharge did not take into account the good things she did while in the service. The DRB took note of the applicant's duty performance as documented by her performance reports, letters of recommendation and other accomplishments. They found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board concluded the discharge was appropriate for the reasons which were the basis for this case.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.

Attachment:

Examiner's Brief