	AIR FORCE DISCHARGE R		_	G RECOR		· <u>····</u>			
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)		GRADE			AFS	AFSN/SSAN			
		l A	AB			f			
TYPE GEN PER	X	X RECORD REVIEW							
	SONAL APPEARANCE  ND OR ORGANIZATION		ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL						
YES No		1,122	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL						
X									
			VOTE OF THE BOARD			- 100 - 100 MW to			
MEMBER SITTING			HON GE		UOTHC OTHER DI		DENY		
							X		
Ⅎ	<u></u>								
							X		
.; ;									
	į						X		
]							X		
-							^		
! ! !							X		
ISSUES A94.05	INDEX NUMBER A69.00			EXHIBITS SU	JBMITTED TO	THE BOARD			
115 1100	A07.00	1	ORDE	R APPOINTIN	G THE BOARD				
		2	<del>                                     </del>		REVIEW OF D	ISCHARGE			
		3		ER OF NOTIFIC					
		4	BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF						
			PERSONAL APPEARANCE  TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HE						
						E HE			
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER								
08 Mar 2007	FD-2006-00273								
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECIS	SIONAL RATIONAL ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACK	IED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE RI	EVIEW BOA	RD DECISIONAL R	ATIONALE		8.1. July 1		
Case heard in Washington, E	D.C.								
Advise applicant of the decis	ion of the Board, the right to a p	ersonal appearance	e with/v	without cou	nsel, and the	e right to su	ıbmit an		
application to the AFBCMR.	•								
Names and cotes will be me-			4						
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.									
V II   CD'	101 (5)	D 1 1							
X- Upgrade of Discharge and	d Change of Reenlistment Code	are Denied							
						·			
	<u></u>		<u></u>		i				
san and a san	INDORSEMENT		i e	Ī	DATE: 3/8/200°	7			
TO: SAF/MRBR				R FORCE PERSON					
550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 LSS COMMAND DR. RE WING, 3RD FLOOR									
RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-	-4/4∠	ANDREWS AI	r,n, wid 2076	2-7002					

## AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2006-00273

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDINGS**: Upgrade of discharge and change of recollistment code are denied.

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

### **ISSUES**:

Issue 1. Applicant contends discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh. The records indicated the applicant received an Article 15 for misconduct. The misconduct included theft of a compact disc car stereo. The applicant also failed the alcohol rehabilitation program. The DRB opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his negative behavior. The Board concluded the misconduct was a significant departure from conduct expected of all military members. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

Issue 2. The applicant stated he was told he would be eligible to reenlist in six months. The DRB noted the issue was common, the result of miscommunication. The applicant would only be eligible for reenlistment if his discharge was upgraded and his reenlistment code was changed. While a discharge may be upgraded it is by no means automatic. A discharge is upgraded only if the applicant and the DRB can establish an inequity or impropriety took place at the time of discharge. In this case, none was found, so the Board denied the appeal.

**CONCLUSIONS:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.

Attachment:

Examiner's Brief

# DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD ANDREWS AFB, MD

(Former AB)	(HGH A1C)		
	DISCHARGE	PACKAGE	MISSING

1. MATTER UNDER REVIEW: Appl rec'd a GEN Disch fr USAF Hanscom AFB, MA on 24 Dec 03 UP AFI 36-3208, para 5.32 (Alcohol Rehabilitation Failure). Appeals for Honorable Discharge and to Change the RE Code.

#### 2. BACKGROUND:

- a. DOB: 2 May 84. Enlmt Age: 17 0/12. Disch Age: 20 7/12. Educ: HS DIPL. AFQT: N/A. A-84, E-76, G-74, M-82. PAFSC: 3E731 Fire Protection Apprentice. DAS: 20 Dec 02.
- b. Prior Sv: (1) AFRes 11 May 01 17 Jun 02 (1 yr 1 month 7 days) (Inactive).

#### 3. SERVICE UNDER REVIEW:

- a. Enlisted as AB 18 Jun 02 for 4 yrs. Svd: 01 Yrs 06 Mo 07 Das, all AMS.
- c. Time Lost: None.
- d. Art 15's: (1) 13 Nov 03, Aviano AB, Italy Article 121. You, did, at or near Aviano AB, Italy on or about 29 Jul 03, steal a Kenwood compact disc car stereo, of a value of about \$380.00, the property of AlC:

  Reduction to AB. Restriction to the limits of Aviano AB, Italy for 30 days, 15 days extra duty, and a reprimand. (No appeal) (No mitigation)
- e. Additional: Unknown.
- f. CM: None.
- q. Record of SV: None.
- h. Awards & Decs: AFTR, NATOM, NDSM.
- i. Stmt of Sv: TMS: (02) Yrs (07) Mos (14) Das TAMS: (01) Yrs (06) Mos (07) Das
- 4. BASIS ADVANCED FOR REVIEW: Appln (DD Fm 293) dtd 17 Jun 06. (Change Discharge to Honorable, and Change the RE Code)

Issue 1: The code 2B does not allow me to re-enlist upon seperation ( $\operatorname{sic}$ ). I was informed that after  $\operatorname{six}$  months I would be eligable ( $\operatorname{sic}$ ) to reenlist. I wish to enlist in the Army and serve my country.

## ATCH

1. Congressional Correspondence.

130CT06/ia