	AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REV	IEW BOARD H	IEARIN	G RECOR	D			
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)		(GRADE			N/SSAN		
TYPE UOTH X PEF	RSONAL APPEARANCE		RECORD REVIE					
COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION			ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL					
YES No AMERICAN LEGION		A	ATTN: , 1608 K STREET NW					
		WASHINGTON DC 20006						
MEMBER SITTING			1	T	E OF THE BO			
			HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
							X	
Ħ							N N	
							X	
							X	
+1		·						
Ц				 			X	
							х	
ISSUES A92.21	INDEX NUMBER			EXHIBITS SU	BMITTED TO	THE BOARI) 	
A92.01 A93.21	A70.00	1						
		2	2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE					
		3						
		4	4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD					
			ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF					
				NAL APPEAR.				
			TAPE R	ECORDING C	OF PERSONAL A	APPEARANC	E HE	
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER							
26 Oct 2006	FD-2006-00059							
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONAL ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE								
Case heard in Washington, D.C.								
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.								
Advise applicant of the decision of the board, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.								
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.								
	<u>A</u>		/_					
INDORSEMENT DATE: 10/26/2006								
TO: FROM: SAF/MRBR SAF/MRBR SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD								
550 C STREET WEST, SUIT RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150			ND DR, EE W	ING, 3RD FLOOR				
AFHQ FORM 0-2077, JAN	(EF-V2)		1	Previous edi	tion will be u	sed		

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2006-00059

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant appeared and testified before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), with counsel, at Andrews AFB on 26 Oct 2006.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: Upgrade of discharge is denied. The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Issue 1. The applicant contends his discharge was inequitable because of his past and present medical conditions. The applicant had a history of mental health issues prior to deserting, but had previously been found to be fit for duty. Although the applicant claimed his thinking was disordered by his experiences during his year in Vietnam, the DRB was not persuaded that he was not responsible for his actions or had the inability to know right from wrong at the time he deserted, when he made an anti-Vietnam war broadcast from Moscow, or when he fled from pre-trial confinement. While the DRB was sympathetic to his cancer related problems and wishes him well in his struggle, the DRB noted that he was receiving treatment from the Veteran's Administration for his health issues and that his discharge was not due to health issues but due to his past misconduct. At the time of his discharge he was pending court-martial charges, so he was ineligible to receive a medical discharge.

Issue 2. The applicant states that his discharge did not take into account the good things he did while in the service. The DRB took note of the applicant's duty performance as documented by his performance reports, decorations and other accomplishments. The DRB found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board concluded the discharge was appropriate for the reasons which were the basis for this case.

Issue 3. The applicant requested upgrade of his discharge based upon his post-service activities. The DRB was pleased to see that the applicant is active in his church and community and working with underprivileged youth and has a good job. However, no inequity or impropriety in his discharge was found in the course of the hearing. The Board concluded the misconduct of the applicant is appropriately characterized on his DD 214.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD ANDREWS AFB, MD

(Former SSGT) (HGH SSGT) Incomplete Records & No Disch Pkg

1. MATTER UNDER REVIEW: Appl rec'd a UOTHC Disch fr USAF Los Angeles AFB CA on 27 Sep 95 UP AFI 36-3208 Chapter 4 (In Lieu of Trial by Courts Martial). Appeals for Honorable Disch.

2. BACKGROUND:

•

a. DOB: 25 Sep 39. Enlmt Age: 25 9/12. Disch Age: 56 0/12. Educ: HS DIPL. AFQT: N/A. A-60, E-35, G-40, M-20. PAFSC: 3A051 - Information Management Journeyman. DAS: 23 May 95.

b. Prior Sv: (1) AFRes 13 Apr 56 - 07 Jun 56 (1 month 25 days) (Inactive).

(2) Enlisted AF 10 Oct 56 for 3 years. Reenlisted 13 Jan 59 for 6 years. Served 8 years 00 months 18 days all AMS.

(3) Enlisted AFRes 14 May 65 - 05 Jul 65 (1 month 07 days Inactive with 15 days active duty).

3. SERVICE UNDER REVIEW:

a. Enlisted USAF as A1C (E-4) 6 Jul 65 for 4 years. Svd: 02 Yrs 11 Mo 23 Das, all AMS.

- b. Grade Status: SSgt 01 Feb 67
 A1C (E4) 06 Jul 65 (Adjusted for break in service).
 A2C (E3) 01 Sep 58
- c. Time Lost: 23 Feb 68 21 Jul 88 (20 years 4 months 29 days). 13 Dec 88 - 23 May 95 (06 years 5 months 11 days) Total lost time 26 years 10 months 10 days.

d. Art 15's: Unknown.

e. Additional: EXAMINERS NOTE: Various correspondence refer to pending Court Martial charges, Letters of Reprimand, Control Roster Action prior to applicant going AWOL.

f. CM: Charges Preferred: Desertion, Escape from Confinement and making a Disloyal Statement.

g. Record of SV: 10 Oct 56 - 10 Jun 59 Japan (Initial) 11 Jun 59 - 04 Dec 61 Osan AB (Annual) 05 Dec 61 - 10 Aug 62 Osan AB (CRO) 10 Aug 62 - 17 Mar 63 Itazuke AB (CRO)

 18 Mar 63 - 17 Mar 64
 Itazuke AB
 (Annual)

 06 Jul 65 - 01 Feb 66
 Duluth IAP
 (CRO)

 02 Feb 66 - 05 Jul 66
 Duluth IAP
 (CRO)

 06 JUL 66 - 05 JUL 67
 Da Nang AB
 (Annual)

 06 Jul 67 - 21 Feb 68
 Barksdale AFB
 (CMDR DIR)

h. Awards & Decs: AFLSA W/1 OLC, AFGCM W/1 OLC, SAEMR, RVCM, VSM, NDSM, AFCM.

i. Stmt of Sv: TMS: (11) Yrs (02) Mos (14) Das TAMS: (11) Yrs (01) Mos (07) Das

4. BASIS ADVANCED FOR REVIEW: Appln (DD Fm 293) dtd 6 Feb 06. (Change Discharge to Honorable.)

Issue 1: On January 30, 2006, I submitted an application to re-open my clain (sic) for serivce connected disability, which was denied previously due to my discharge was other than honorable conditions.

ATCH

i.

×.

- 1. Five letters of support.
- 2. VA Fm 21-4138.
- 3. DD Fm 214.
- 4. Letter from ADC concerning discharge.

26APR06/day