	AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIE	W BOARD HEARING RECO	ORD		
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)		GRADE	AFSN/SSAN		
		A1C			
TYPE GEN PEI	RSONAL APPEARANCE	X RECORD REVIEW			
COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL	L AND OR ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZ			
MEMBER SITTING		<u> </u>	VOTE OF THE BOARD		
			UOTHC OTHER DENY		
		X			
-		x			
		x			
		<u> </u>			
		x			
ISSUES INDEX NUMBER A67.10		EXHIBITS S	EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD		
A92.36		1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD			
A94.54		 2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE 3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION 			
		4 BRIEF OF PERSO	······································		
		COUNSEL'S RELI	EASE TO THE BOARD		
		ADDITIONAL EXI PERSONAL APPE	HIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF ARANCE		
		TAPE RECORDIN	TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE		
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER				
25 May 2006	FD-2005-00444				
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONAL ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE					
Case heard at Washington	, D.C.				
Advise applicant of the desubmit an application to the	cision of the Board, the right to a per the AFBCMR	sonal appearance with/withou	at counsel, and the right to		
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.					
SIGNATURE OF RECORDER	SIGNA	TURE OF BOARD PRESIDENT			
DEFORSEMENT COATE/5/31/2006					
TO: SAF/MRBR FROM: SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL UD SOUCE DISCUSSED FOR DESIDE DESURE PARTY FOR THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL					
550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742			AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDEWS AFR MD 20762-7002		
KANDULPH AFB, IX /8	130=4742				
AFHQ FORM 0-2077, JAN 00(EF-V2)Previous edition will be used					

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2005-00444

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: The Board grants the requested relief.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by applicant substantiates an impropriety that would justify a change of discharge. However, based upon the record and evidence provided by applicant, the Board finds the applicant's characterization of discharge inequitable.

ISSUE: Applicant received a General discharge for Misconduct – Minor Disciplinary Infractions

Issue 1. Applicant states that he is a police officer and this discharge follows him everywhere he goes and every school he attends, and he is just trying to fix some of the mistakes he made while he was young. The Board was sympathetic to the impact the characterization of his discharge was having on the applicant, but this is not a matter of inequity or impropriety which would warrant an upgrade

Issue 2. Applicant states that he received disciplinary action for forgetting his travel package in Korea during a PCS and that, although he made a few mistakes, he served his country proudly for 3.5 years. The records indicated the applicant received two Article 15s and two Letters of Reprimand for misconduct to include willfully failing to remain alert and attentive while assigned to provide security at a Launch Facility, failure to complete M-60 firing training class because of disorderly conduct, failure to pay a just debt, and willfully failing to turn in his UPRG to the appropriate activity upon arrival at his new duty station which review of the records revealed resulted from applicant departing his last duty assignment and inadvertently leaving his UPRG in his dorm room. The DRB took note of the applicant's duty performance as documented by his performance reports and other accomplishments, as well as the fact that applicant had less than four months remaining on his enlistment at the time of discharge, and opined that the overall quality of applicant's service is more accurately reflected by an Honorable discharge.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

However, in view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that the overall quality of applicant's service is more accurately reflected by an Honorable discharge. The applicant's characterization should be changed to Honorable under the provisions of Title 10, USC 1553.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD ANDREWS AFB, MD

(Former A1C) (HGH SRA)

1. MATTER UNDER REVIEW: Appl rec'd a GEN Disch fr USAF Pope AFB, NC on 24 Apr 91 UP AFR 39-10, para 5-46 (Misconduct - Minor Disciplinary Infractions). Appeals for Honorable Discharge.

2. BACKGROUND:

......

i.....

.

a. DOB: 17 Aug 65. Enlmt Age: 21 10/12. Disch Age: 25 8/12. Educ: HS DIPL. AFQT: N/A. A-46, E-57, G-90, M-68. PAFSC: 81150 - Security Specialist. DAS: 9 Jan 91.

b. Prior Sv: (1) AFRes 19 Jun 87 - 21 Sep 87 (3 months 3 days) (Inactive).

3. SERVICE UNDER REVIEW:

a. Enlisted as AB 22 Sep 87 for 4 yrs. Svd: 03 Yrs 07 Mo 02 Das, all AMS.

- c. Time Lost: None.
- d. Art 15's: (1) 25 Mar 91, Pope AFB, NC Article 92. You knew of your duties at Osan AB, Korea, from about 19 Nov 90 to about 9 Jan 91, were derelict in the performance of those duties in that you willfully failed to turn in your UPRG records over to the appropriate activity at Pope AFB, NC, and you willfully did not safeguard these records enroute as it was your duty to do so. Reduction to A1C. (No appeal) (No mitigation)
 - (2) 28 Sep 88, Grand Forks AFB, ND Article 92. You, who knew of your duties within the 321st Strategic Missile Wing complex, North Dakota, on or about 19 September 1988, were derelict in the performance of those duties in that you willfully failed to remain alert and attentive while assigned to provide site security at Oscar 44 Launch Facility, as it was your duty to do. Suspended reduction to AB. Forfeiture of \$100.00 pay per month for two months. (No appeal) (No mitigation)
- e. Additional: LOR, 11 JUL 90 Financial irresponsibility. LOR, 16 OCT 89 - Disorderly conduct.

f. CM: None.

g. Record of SV: 22 Sep 87 - 19 Nov 88 Grand Forks AFB 8 (Annual)
20 Nov 88 - 29 Aug 89 Grand Forks AFB 3 (Annual)
30 Aug 89 - 29 Aug 90 Osan AB 3 (Annual)

h. Awards & Decs: NDSM, AFOSSTR, AFTR.

i. Stmt of Sv: TMS: (03) Yrs (10) Mos (06) Das TAMS: (03) Yrs (07) Mos (03) Das

4. BASIS ADVANCED FOR REVIEW: Appln (DD Fm 293) dtd 14 Nov 05. (Change Discharge to Honorable)

Issue 1: I am requesting that my discharge be upgraded. Recieved (sic) disciplinary action for forgetting my travel package in Korea during a permanent change of station. Although I made a few bad decisions, I served my country proudly for 3 and a half years. Please consider this request. I am a police officer and my discharge follows every where I go or every school I attend. I am just trying to fix some of the mistakes that I made while I was young and with your help I can suceed (sic). Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

ATCH None.

•

28DEC05/ia

FD2005-00444



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

HEADQUARTERS 317TH COMBAT SUPPORT GROUP (MAC) POPE AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA 28308

ATTN OF 317 SPS/CC

0 2 APR 1991

SUBJECT: Letter of Notification

™ A1C 317th Security Police Squadron

1. I am recommending your discharge from the United States Air Force for Minor Disciplinary Infractions. The authority for this action is AFR 39-10, paragraph 5-46. If my recommendation is approved, your service will be characterized as general.

2. My reasons for this action are:

a. You knew of your duties at Osan Air Base, Korea, from about 19 November 1990 to about 9 January 1991, were derelicit in the performance of those duties in that you willfully failed to turn in your UPRG records over to the appropriate activity upon arrival at Pope Air Force Base, North Carolina and you willfully did not safeguard these records enroute as it was your duty to do so. For this you received an Article 15, dated 25 March 1991.

b. You did, on or about 31 January 1990, fail to pay a loan to the 1st Liberty Credit Union. For this, you received a Letter of Reprimand, dated 11 July 1990.

c. You did, on or about 16 September 1989, fail to complete M-60 firing training class because of disorderly conduct. For this, you received a Letter of Reprimand, dated 16 October 1989.

d. You, who knew of your duties with the 321st Strategic Missile Wing complex, North Dakota, on or about 19 September 1988, were derelict in the performance of those duties in that you willfully failed to remain alert and attentive while assigned to provide site security at Oscar 44 Launch Facility, as it was your duty to do. For this, you received an Article 15, dated 28 September 1988.

Copies of the documents to be forwarded to the separation authority in support of this recommendation are attached. The commander exercising SPCM jurisdiction or a higher authority will decide whether you will be discharged or retained in the Air Force and, if you are discharged, how your service will be characterized. If you are discharged, you will be ineligible for reenlistment in the Air Force.

3. You have the right to consult counsel. Military legal counsel has been obtained to assist you. I have made an appointment for you to consult Capt Letteney at Bldg 344, on 5 April 1991 at 0930 hours. You may consult civilian counsel at your own expense.

4. You have the right to submit statements in your own behalf. Any statement you want the separation authority to consider must reach me by 10 April 1991 unless you request and receive an extension for good cause shown. I will send them to the separation authority.

5. If you fail to consult or to submit statements in your own behalf, your failure will constitute a wavier of your right to do so.

6. You have been scheduled for a medical examination. You must report to the USAF Pope Clinic at 0730 hours on 4 April 1991 for the examination.

7. Any personal information you furnish in rebuttal is covered by the Privacy Act Statement as explained in AFR 39-10, Attachment 6. A copy of AFR 39-10 is available for your use in the unit Orderly Room.

8. Execute the attached acknowledgment and return it to me immediately.

	5 Atch
<i>(</i> Commander	1. Ar
317th Security Police Squadron	2. Le

- ticle 15, dated 25 March 1991
- tter of Reprimand, dated 11 July 1990
- 3. Letter of Reprimand, dated 16 October 1989
- 4. Article 15, dated 28 September 1988

FD.2005-00444

5. Airman's Receipt of Ltr of Notification