NAME OF SERVICE	AIR FORCE DISCHARGE R			IG KECUI			• • • •	
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)		G	GRADE			AFSN/SSAN		
<u> </u>		(CAPT					
TYPE GEN PERSONAL APPEARANCE		X	X RECORD REVIEW					
COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION		ADD	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL					
YES No								
X				VOT	E OF THE BO	MPD	Sali i Nedsan	
MEMBER SITTING		<u>1266</u>	HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
·				GZIV	-	OTTLEN		
1							X*	
							X*	
<u>.</u>								
<u>.</u> 							X*	
							X*	
!	-					_	X*	
<u> </u>			<u>.</u>				<u></u>	
A93.19	INDEX NUMBER A66.00	<u></u>	T		BMITTED TO		(D)	
A92.21		1 2		ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE				
A01.55 A94.05		3		R OF NOTIFI				
A02.25		4		OF PERSON				
			I		ASE TO THE B BITS SUBMIT		E OF	
				NAL APPEAI			.2 0.	
			TAPE	RECORDING	OF PERSONA	AL APPEARA	NCE	
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER							
05 Jul 2006	FD-2005-00344							
APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONAL ARE DISCUSSED ON THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE								
Case heard at Washington,	D.C.							
• •	ision of the Board, the right to	a personal appear	ance wi	th/without	counsel, a	nd the righ	t to	
submit an application to the AFBCMR								
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.								
* Reason and Authority								
			1					
SIGNATURE OF BOARD HESIDENT								
23	INDORSEMENT	40			ATE: 7/11/20	006		
TO: SAF/MRBR			SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL					
550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742			AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR. EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7002					
MANDOLITAID, IA 10130-7172								

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2005-00344

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to general and to change the reason and authority for the discharge.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: Upgrade of discharge and change of reason and authority for discharge are denied.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE:

Issue 1. Applicant contends discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh. The records indicated the applicant had a General Court Martial Trial for misconduct in which he was found guilty on six Specifications. Specifications 1-4, he was found guilty of orally communicating to females certain indecent language; and Specifications 5 and 6, he was found guilty of wrongfully communicating a threat. He was sentenced to forfeiture of \$500.00 pay for three months. The DRB concluded the misconduct was a significant departure from conduct expected of all military members. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

Issue 2. Applicant states that his discharge did not take into account the good things he did while in the service. The DRB took note of the applicant's duty performance as documented by his performance reports, letters of recommendation and other accomplishments. They found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board concluded the discharge was appropriate for the reasons which were the basis for this case.

Issue 3. The DRB was pleased to see that the applicant was doing well. However, no inequity or impropriety in his discharge was suggested or found in the course of the hearing. The Board concluded the misconduct of the applicant appropriately characterized his term of service.

If applicant can provide additional documented information to substantiate an issue, he should consider exercising his right to make a personal appearance before the Board. If he should choose to exercise his right to a personal appearance hearing, the applicant should be prepared to provide the DRB with factual evidence of the inequity, copies of discharge documentation provided to applicant upon separation, and any exemplary post-service accomplishments as well as any contributions to the community. Applicant must exercise his right to make a personal appearance before the Board no later than November 1, 2006.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.					
In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.					
Attachment: Examiner's Brief					

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD ANDREWS AFB, MD

,	
i :	(December ONDE) (MOH ONDE)
! :	(Former CAPT) (HGH CAPT)
:	
i :	MISSING DISCHARGE DOCUMENTS & SMR
• ;	

1. MATTER UNDER REVIEW: Appl rec'd a UOTH Disch fr USAF Malmstrom AFB, MT on 7 Sep 90 UP AFR 36-12 (Misconduct - Moral or Professional Dereliction: Sexual Perversion). Appeals for General and to Change the Reason and Authority for Discharge.

2. BACKGROUND:

- a. DOB: 3 Aug 52. Enlmt Age: 20 5/12. Disch Age: 37 1/12. Educ: Bachelor Degree. AFQT: Unknown. PAFSC: 3124 Missile Maintenance Officer. DAS: (OER Indicates): 15 Aug 86-20 Mar 87..
 - b. Prior Sv: (1) AFRes 1 Feb 73 7 Mar 73 (1 month 7 days) (Inactive).
- (2) Enlisted as AB 8 Mar 73 for 4 yrs. Extended 2 Nov 76 for 20 months. Reenlisted as SSgt 11 Jul 78 for 4 yrs. Svd: 8 yrs 10 months 20 days, all AMS. Amn Unknown. AlC-(APR Indicates): 8 Mar 73-8 Mar 74. Sgt 1 Dec 75. SSgt 1 Dec 77. APRs: 9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9.

3. SERVICE UNDER REVIEW:

- a. Appointed to 2Lt and Ordered to EAD on 9 Jan 82. Svd: 08 Yrs 07 Mo 10 Das, all AMS.
 - b. Grade Status: Capt 29 Jan 86 1Lt - 29 Jan 84
 - c. Time Lost: None.
 - d. Art 15's: None.
 - e. Additional: AF 286A, 10 Aug 89 Permanent Decertification from PRP.
 - f. CM: General Court Martial Order No.62 13 Mar 90

CHARGE: Article 134. Plea: Guilty. Finding: Guilty.

Specification 1: Did, at divers times between on or about 15 Jun 88 and on or about 16 May 89, orally communicate to a female certain indecent language. Plea: Guilty. Finding: Guilty.

Specification 2: Did, at divers times between on or about 1 Mar 89 and on or about 20 May 89, orally communicate to a female certain indecent language. Plea: Guilty. Finding: Guilty.

Specification 3: Did, at divers times between on or about 17 Mar 89 and on or about 16 May 89, orally communicate to a female certain indecent language. Plea: Guilty. Finding: Guilty.

Specification 4: Did, at divers times between on or about 1 Feb 89 and on or about 16 May 89, orally communicate to a female certain indecent language. Plea: Guilty. Finding: Guilty.

Specification 5: Did, on or about 14 Jan 89, wrongfully wrongfully communicate a threat. Plea: Guilty. Finding: Guilty.

Specification 6: Did, on or about 21 Jan 89, wrongfully wrongfully communicate a threat. Plea: Guilty. Finding: Guilty. Sentence adjudged on 14 Feb 90: Forfeiture of \$500.00 pay per month for 3 months.

- g. Record of SV: 09 Jun 82 03 Apr 83 McConnell AFB 01 (Semiannual) 04 Apr 83 24 Oct 83 McConnell AFB 01 (Semiannual) 25 Oct 83 24 Apr 84 McConnell AFB 01 (Semiannual) 25 Apr 84 10 Jan 85 McConnell AFB 01 (Semiannual) 11 Jan 85 19 Jun 85 McConnell AFB 01 (CRO) 15 Aug 86 20 Mar 87 Malmstrom AFB 01 (CRO) 10 Oct 87 09 Oct 88 Malmstrom AFB MS (Annual) 10 Oct 88 06 Dec 89 Malmstrom AFB DNMS (Annual) REF
- h. Awards & Decs: CRM, SAEMR, AFTR, NCOPMER, AFLSAR W/3 DEV, AFOSLTR, NDSM, AFGCM W/1 OLC, AFOUA W/1 DEV, AFCM W/1 OLC.
 - i. Stmt of Sv: TMS: (17) Yrs (07) Mos (07) Das TAMS: (17) Yrs (06) Mos (00) Das
- 4. BASIS ADVANCED FOR REVIEW: Appln (DD Fm 293) dtd 24 Aug 05. (Change Discharge to General and the Change the Reason and Authority for Discharge)
- Issue 1: Character of discharge is inequitable because at "show cause" notification I was offered a general under honorable condition discharge in lieu of an administrative board. The board had no option to grant a general under honorable condition discharge without severance pay only honorable or under other than honorable.
- Issue 2: Character of discharge is inequitable because it is too harsh in accurately reflecting the overall quality of service. While not condoning the misconduct of alcoholic behavior, all other aspects of service before, during, and after the misconduct were outstanding. Other than that period of off duty misconduct, no other adverse action was ever taken.
- Issue 3: The reason for discharge should be changed to Secretarial Authority because the correct underlining reason was alcoholism as evidenced by the medical record diagnosis, the Social Actions alcohol treatment completion, and complete absence of any adverse incidents since then and up to now with 15 years of abstinence.

ATCH None.

2DEC05/ia