NAME OF SERVICE MEMBI	ER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)	GRADE	AFSN/SSAN
		A1C	
YPE X P	ERSONAL APPEARANCE	RECORD R	EVIEW
COUNSEL NAME OF COUN YES No X	SEL AND OR ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATI	ON OF COUNSEL
<u> </u>		VOTE	OF THE BOARD
	MEMBER SITTING	HON GEN	UOTHC OTHER DENY
			X+*
A94.11	INDEX NUMBER A29.00		BMITTED TO THE BOARD
A01.07		1 ORDER APPOINTING	
A90.07			REVIEW OF DISCHARGE
		3 LETTER OF NOTIFIC	
		4 BRIEF OF PERSONN COUNSEL'S RELEA	
			BITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF
		PERSONAL APPEAR	ANCE
		TAPE RECORDING (OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER		
28 Feb 2006	FD-2005-00213		
A STATE OF THE STA		CHED AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DEC	CISIONAL RATIONALE
Case heard at Washington	on, D.C.		
Advise applicant of the	decision of the Board and the right to	submit an application to the AFP	acmr
tavise applicant of the	decision of the Board and the right to	submit an application to the ALL	CWIK.
Names and votes will be	e made available to the applicant at the	applicant's request.	
	••		
X - Upgrade Discharg	e		
+ - Change RE code			
* - Change Reason an	d Authority		
SIGNATURE OF RECORDER	SIGN	ATURE OF BOARD PRESIDENT	
	INDORSEMENT	D	ATE: 3/1/2006
TO: SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, RANDOLPH AFB, TX		M: SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERS AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOAI 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLC ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7002	RD
AFHQ FORM 0-2077,	JAN 00 (EF-V2)		s edition will be used

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2005-00213

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant appeared and testified before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), without counsel, at Andrews AFB on 28 February 2006.

The following additional exhibits were submitted at the hearing:

Exhibit #5: AF Form 102 IG Complaint Registration

Exhibit #6: IG Response dated 5 April 2004

Exhibit #7: Legal Assistance Letters

Exhibit #8: Telephone Log dated 23 September 2004

Exhibit #9: Response to LOR

Exhibit #10: AETC Form 736 and Response

Exhibit #11: Memo from

Exhibit #12: Two E-mails

Exhibit #13: Excerpts from the Applicants submission to the AFBCMR

Exhibit #14: Miscellaneous Items

Exhibit #15: E-mail from 82d Wing IG to AETC IG

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: Upgrade of discharge, change of reason and authority for discharge and change of reenlistment code are denied.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUES:

Issue 1. Applicant contends his discharge was inequitable because it was based upon his chain of command's erroneous belief the applicant was a homosexual. Despite this assertion, there is no evidence his discharge was based upon his supposed sexual orientation. His discharge was based upon his failure in his technical training program and his minor disciplinary infractions. The records indicated the applicant was medically eliminated from one technical training school, reclassified into the postal apprentice career field and then failed out of the technical training school for that career field.

Issue 2. Applicant contends that his discharge was improper because he was not allowed to consult with an Area Defense Counsel after receiving Letters of Counseling or Letters of Reprimand. Even if this were true, it does not create an impropriety in the discharge action. There is no right to consult with counsel associated with receipt of LOCs or LORs. The applicant did consult with counsel regarding his administrative discharge from the Air Force.

Issue 3. Applicant contends that upgrading his discharge would remove blockages and allow him to avoid awkward questions when he attempts to secure a better job. While the DRB was sympathetic to his desire to obtain more rewarding employment, no inequity or impropriety in his discharge was found in the course of the hearing.

Issue 4. The applicant contends that the discharge action was improper because he should have been discharged by Sheppard AFB rather than Keesler AFB. This contention is without merit, the 82d Training Group Commander was the appropriate separation authority because the applicant was a student assigned to one of the 82d Training Group's training detachments.
CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.
In view of the foregoing findings the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.
Attachment: Examiner's Brief

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD ANDREWS AFB, MD

(Former A1C) (HGH A1C)

MISSING DOCUMENTS

1. MATTER UNDER REVIEW: Appl rec'd a UNCHARACTERIZED Disch fr Shaw AFB, SC on 4 Oct 02 UP AFI 36-3208, para 5.22 (Unsatisfactory Entry Level Performance or Conduct). Appeals for Honorable Discharge, and Change in the RE Code, Reason and Authority for Discharge.

2. BACKGROUND:

- a. DOB: 7 Feb 77. Enlmt Age: 24 6/12. Disch Age: 25 7/12. Educ: HS DIPL. AFQT: N/A. A-26, E-55, G-34, M-36. PAFSC: 9T000 Trainee. DAS: Unknown.
 - b. Prior Sv: (1) AFRes 13 Aug 01 25 Mar 02 (07 mos 13 das)(Inactive).

3. SERVICE UNDER REVIEW:

- a. Enlisted as A1C 26 Mar 02 for 6 years. Svd: 00 Yrs 06 Mo 10 Das, all AMS.
 - b. Grade Status: None.
 - c. Time Lost: None.
 - d. Art 15's: Unknown.
 - e. Additional: LTR, 11 SEP 02 Eliminated from Postal Operations Apprentice Course.

LOR, 10 SEP 02 - Failure to shave and failure to maintain military bearing.

LOC, 29 AUG 02 - Dormitory room not in inspection order. LOC, 27 AUG 02 - Random dormitory inspection, found your desk drawer unsecured.

EXAMINERS NOTE: The additional information was taken from the discharge notification letter. Also applicant enlisted with guaranteed AFSC 3E031.

- f. CM: Unknown.
- g. Record of SV: None,
- h. Awards & Decs: BMTR, NDSM.
- i. Stmt of Sv: TMS: (01) Yrs (01) Mos (23) Das TAMS: (00) Yrs (06) Mos (10) Das
- 4. BASIS ADVANCED FOR REVIEW: Appln (DD Fm 293) dtd 20 May 05.

(Change to Honorable Discharge, and RE Code, Reason and Authority for Discharge)

ISSUES ATTACHED TO BRIEF.

ATCH

1. Applicant's Issues.

05JUL05/day

APPLICATION FOR THE REVIEW OF DISCHARGE FROM THE ARMED FORCES OF THE UNITED STATES

(Please read instructions on Pages 3 and 4 BEFORE completing this application.)

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0004
Expires Aug 31, 2006

4

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Services and Communications Directorate (0704-0004). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION. RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO THE APPROPRIATE ADDRESS ON BACK OF THIS PAGE.

AUTHORITY: 40 14 0 0 4550, 5 0 0003	PRIVACY	Y ACT STATEMENT	•		1
AUTHORITY: 10 U.S.C. 1553; E.O. 9397. PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): To apply for a ch		zation or reason for mi	ilitaru diecharoo isi	eued to an individual	
ROUTINE USE(S): None.	iange in tite characters	zauon or reason for m	intary discriarge is:	ded to all sidividual.	
DISCLOSURE: Voluntary; however, failure	to provide identifying	information may impe	de processing of t	nis application. The request for	
Social Security Number is strictly to assure	proper identification o	f the individual and ap	propriate records.		
1. APPLICANT DATA (The person whose of	fischarge is to be revie	wed). PLEASE PRINT	OR TYPE INFORM	ATION.]
a. BRANCH OF SERVICE (X one) ARMY	MARINE CORPS	NAVY	AIR FORCE	COAST GUARD]
b. NAME (Last, First, Middle Initial)		c. GRADE/RANK AT D	ISCHARGE d.	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER	1
		E-3			
2. DATE OF DISCHARGE OR SEPARATION	4. DISCHARGE CHA	RACTERIZATION REC	EIVED (X one) 5.	BOARD ACTION REQUESTED (X one	ภ
	HONORABLE		X	CHANGE TO HONORABLE	1
ago, submit a DD Form 149)	GENERAL/UNDER	HONORABLE CONDITION	ıs	CHANGE TO GENERAL/UNDER	7
2002 10 04	UNDER OTHER TH	AN HONORABLE CONDI	rions —	HONORABLE CONDITIONS CHANGE TO UNCHARACTERIZED	4
3. UNIT AND LOCATION AT DISCHARGE	BAD CONDUCT (S	pecial court-martial only)		(Not applicable for Air Force)	
OR SEPARATION	X UNCHARACTERIZE	ED .		CHANGE NARRATIVE REASON FOR	1
336 TRS 515+ TRW	OTHER (Explain)		×	Secretarial Auth	1_
6. ISSUES: WHY AN UPGRADE OR CHANG	SE IS DECLIESTED AND	N HISTISICATION COL	P TUE DECLIEST		Ψ''
Page 3.)	SE IS REQUESTED AN	D 3031 IFICATION FO	A THE NEWOEST P	ANNURSE WI HEIR 14. See HISHDCHONS ON	1
- Command made the error	eous assur	nption that	I was h	ina saxual.	
- Command was concarred	d a lam + the	terral qual	itu of mu	Cocced	1
- command meGuesal to	let me con!	back ADC u	shien they	rectue terebron-	ተ
THE STRY OF TERMINA		· · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			4
7. (X if applicable) AN APPLICATION W				08 / /	ı
8. IN SUPPORT OF THIS APPLICATION, TH				SUPERIOR CONTRACTOR AT	4
If military documents or medical records are re-	levant to your case pleas	se send conies l	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	•	1
Most documents are a	the AFRC	me there	are a	letitional documen	1+5
that will be sent to	MEBR + lig	3ht.		$\sigma_{i,j} = \rho_{i,j} = \rho_{i,j} = \rho_{i,j}$	
					1
9. TYPE OF REVIEW REQUESTED (X one)					1 '
CONDUCT A RECORD REVIEW OF MY DISCH SUBMITTED BY ME. 1 AND/OR (counsel/repo				NAL DOCUMENTATION	ſ
X I AND/OR (counsel/representative) WISH TO WASHINGTON, D.C. METROPOLITAN AREA.				DRE THE BOARD IN THE	1
I AND/OR (counsel/representative) WISH TO	APPEAR AT A HEARING /				1
(enter city and state) 10.a. COUNSEL/REPRESENTATIVE (If any) NA	NAT O Fr Barton .			v Board does not have a traveling panel.)	-
"(See Item 10 of the instructions about coun		MINI AND ADDRESS	!	moen (mende wes code)	1;
Military Claims	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ľ.		į
veturans of Formagy wars			d. FAX NUMBER (robudo Area Codel	#¦
washing ton DC 20 4 8	4-1/11		L FAX NOROCR	initiale Alea Codey	i
11. APPLICANT MUST SIGN IN ITEM 13.a. I	BELOW, If the record	in question is that of a	deceased or inco	mpetent person, LEGAL PROOF OF	1
DEATH OR INCOMPETENCY MUST ACC	COMPANY THE APPLIC	CATION. If the applica	ntion is signed by o	ther than the applicant, indicate	j
the name (print)		-	by marking a box	•	
SPOUSE WIDOW WIDOWER 12.a. CURRENT MAILING ADDRESS OF APP	NEXT OF KIN	LEGAL REPRESENT		(Specify) MBER (Include Area Code)	Į.
(Forward notification of any change in addre		DOVE	in the state of th	MIDEST (INCIDUE FOR CODE)	4
		ı	<u>[</u> 6		
			į		
 CERTIFICATION. I make the foregoing penalties involved for willfully making a and 1001, provide that an individual sha or both. 	false statement or clair	n. (U.S. Code, Title 18	3. Sections 287	CASE NUMBER (Do not write in this space.)	
n. SIGNATURE - REQUIRED (Applicant or person	in Item 11 abovel	b. DATE SIGNED -	REQUIRED		1
i		(YYYYMMDD)			
		2005 0	5 20		

14. CONTINUATION OF ITEM 6, ISSUES (If applicable)

The reason why I was removed for training for flat fact but that was Not an issue - the issue was the fact I had a lot injury.

The command made an assumption that I was homosexual, it was erronouse. The command wrote me Letters to in hopes I learn a lesson but did not stop problems.

I was prevented from the assisting assistance of ADE. ADC had made an appointment for me but I was prevented to attend. ADC loft me phone messages but my command would not make me aware. I needed legal assistance before problems span out 15. CONTINUATION OF ITEM 8, SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS (If applicable)

Documents will be furnished to MRBR, most olocuments are at the AFBCMR, and other documents will be sunt to MRBR in the future

I need a copy of my AF personne (records but I do know documents are missing from life and a letter that state I have a The grade Level reading is not enclosed.

16. REMARKS (If applicable)

- I passed meg Ps screening since all three physicals.
- -I passed the negurnants.
- my employer states I have a 12th grade reading comprehension level.
- I am not a homo sexual and the issure about the pitch of my voice ishould have been a wone issure.
- I was questioned and scrutinize without ADC.
- I went on a Toy assignment from Sheppard AFB to misawa Fort Jackson was for training but until I sign in at misawa Theopard AFB is my lossing base. My modical records were sent to Japan.
- I did file there I've complaints, msqt. Rogers told me he received them. The Air Force General Counsel stated Phowe records from Fort Sackson make a good case.

MAIL COMPLETED APPLICATIONS TO APPROPRIATE ADDRESS BELOW.

ARMY

Army Review Boards Agency Support Division, St. Louis 9700 Page Avenue St. Louis, MO 63132-5200 (See http://arba.army.pentagon.mil)

AIR FORCE

Air Force Review Boards Agency SAF/MRBR 550-C Street West, Suite 40 Randolph AFB, TX 78150-4742

NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Naval Council of Personnel Boards 720 Kennon Street, S.E. Room 309 (NDRB) Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374-5023

COAST GUARD

U.S. Coast Guard Commandant (G-WPM) 2100 Second Street, S.W. Room 5500 Washington, DC 20593



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR EDUCATION AND TRAINING COMMAND

•			20 Sep	2002
	,		2	
MEMORANDUM FOR A1C	!			
•		4		
FROM: DET 1, 336 TRS/CC		•		

SUBJECT: Notification Memorandum

- 1. I am recommending your discharge from the United States Air Force for unsatisfactory entry-level performance or conduct. The authority for this action is AFPD 36-32 and AFI 36-3208. Chapter 5, Section D, paragraph 5.22. If my recommendation is approved, your discharge will be described as an entry-level separation and you will be ineligible for reculistment in the Air Force.
- 2. My reasons for this action are:
- a. On or about 11 Sep 02, you were eliminated from the Postal Operations Apprentice course, due to your academic deficiencies with the course, (Atch 1, Appendix A w/atchs)
- b. On 10 Sep 02, you violated AFI 36-2903, by failing to shave prior to leaving the dormitory for breakfast, In addition, you failed to maintain your military bearing by calling an area to attention when the commander was already in the room, moving around while at the position of attention, and failing to salute the commander. For these infractions, you received a Letter of Reprimand, dated 10 Sep 02. (Atch 1, Appendix B w/atchs)
- c. On 29 Aug 02, you did not have your dormitory room in inspection order, for which you received a Letter of Counseling, dated 29 Aug 02. Atch 1, Appendix C)
- e. On 27 Aug 02, during a random dormitory room inspection by the Chief, Military Training Leader, your desk drawer was found unsecured, for which you received a Letter of Counseling, dated 27 Aug 02. (Atch 1, Appendix D w/atchs)
- 3. Copies of the documents to be forwarded to the separation authority in support of this recommendation are attached. The commander exercising SPCM jurisdiction or higher will decide whether you will be discharged or retained in the Air Force. If you are discharged, you will be incligible for reenlistment in the Air Force. Any special pay, bomses or education assistance funds may be subject to recoupment.



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR EDUCATION AND TRAINING COMMAND

OCT 2 2002

MEMORANDUM FOR 81 TRG/CC

FROM: 81 TRW/JAJ	
500 Fisher Street Rm 227	
Keesler AFB, MS 39534-2553	
SUBJECT: Legal Review, Administrative Discharge – AB ,	
1. <u>ACTION</u> : On 23 Sep 02, Det 1, 336 TRS/CC recommended that AB (hereafter referred to as "Respondent") be administratively separated for unsatisfactory entry performance or conduct with an entry level separation. The authority for this recommendation AFI 36-3208, Section D, paragraph 5.22 (unsatisfactory entry level performance or conduct) paragraph 6.2.2, the respondent is not entitled to a board hearing.	n is

2. PERSONAL DATA:

- a. Date and Term of Enlistment: 26 Mar 02; 6 Years;
- b. Total Active Federal Military Service Date: 26 Mar 02;
- c. Performance Reports: n/a.

3. EVIDENCE FOR THE GOVERNMENT:

- a. On or about 18 Sep 02, the Respondent was eliminated from the Postal Operations Apprentice course, due to his academic deficiencies with the course.
- b. On 10 Sep 02, the Respondent violated AFI 36-2908, by failing to shave prior to leaving the dotmitory for breakfast. In addition, he failed to maintain his military bearing by calling an area to attention when the commander was already in the room, moving around while at the position of attention, and failing to salute the commander. For these infractions, the Respondent received a Letter of Reprimand, dated 10 Sep 02.
- c. On 29 Aug 02, the Respondent did not have his dormitory room in inspection order, for which he received a Letter of Counseling, dated 29 Aug 02.

ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

This is a privileged document. Do not release in whole or in part without the express permission of the Staff Judge Advocate.

- c. On 27 Aug 02, during a random dormitory room inspection by the Chief, Military Training Leader, the Respondent's desk drawer was found unsecured, for which he received a Letter of Counseling, dated 27 Aug 02.
- 4. EVIDENCE FOR THE RESPONDENT: This 25-year old airman is in his first enlistment and has AQE scores as follows: A = 55, E = 36, G = 34, M = 26. The Respondent consulted with military counsel and submitted written matters for the commander's consideration.
- 4. DISCUSSION: In his response, AB states that the reason he joined the Air Force was because it was a calling. He requested that the administrative actions be stopped because when he was notified of punitive offenses, he stopped the behavior that he was counseled or reprimanded on. He also states that he was not given the opportunity to see or speak with Area Defense Counsel in his previous incidents. He saw a Defense Attorney at Shaw AFB who represented soldiers at Ft. Jackson, but was told that he could not be of any assistance because he did not know about Air Force regulations. He also stated that Airman Basics do not look down upon him, nor does he set a negative example for them. AB stated that he had expressed the performance difficulties at the Postal School to the proper channels, and at times felt as though he was not getting anywhere. He requested that he be given the opportunity to go to another tech school in administration, and take advantage of the 81st Wing Learning Center to improve his academic skills, graduate, and enter the operational Air Force. This file is legally sufficient. The Respondent was medically eliminated from his first technical training school and was academically eliminated from the Postal Operations Apprentice course. The Respondent has had various infractions for which he has been counseled and reprimanded. We concur with Det 1, 336 TRS/CC's recommendation of an entry-level separation.
- 6. OPTIONS: As the Separation Authority your options are:
- a. If you determine this separation action is not supported by the evidence, direct that it be discontinued, and direct the respondent be retained in the Air Force.
- b. If you determine this separation action has been brought under an inappropriate section of AFI 36-3208, direct reinitiation under a more appropriate section.
- c. If you determine this separation action is supported by the evidence, approve the separation action, without probation and rehabilitation, and direct the respondent be given an entry level separation unsatisfactory entry level performance or conduct under the provisions of AFI 36-3208, Section D, paragraph 5.22.

	[]
	NCOIC, Airman Discharge Facility
I concur.	Δ
)	

Attachment Case File