	AIR FORCE DISCHARGE R	EVIEW BOARD	HEAR	ING RECO	ORD			
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL		GR	ADE	AFSN/SSA	AN	,		
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O		Al	AB					
PERSONAL APPEARANCE OUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION  ES NO X		X RECORD REVIEW ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL						
				VOTE	)F THE BOARD		:	
	H	ON	GEN	иотнс	OTHER	DENY		
		X						
		X						
		X		- · · <del>- ·</del>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<del> </del>	
		X					-	
							_	
		X						
A94.06	INDEX NUMBER A67.90	1	ORDI	EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD  DER APPOINTING THE BOARD				
		2	APPL	LICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE				
		3		TTER OF NOTIFICATION				
HEARING DATE 1 AUG 03	CASE NUMBER FD2003-0152	4		BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD				
		<del></del>	ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE					
			TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPERANCE HEARING					
	BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONAL ARE DISCUSSED ON	THE ATTACHED AIR FORCE	1					
Case heard at Wash	ington, D.C.							
GNATURE OF RECORDER		SIGNATURE OF BOARD P	RESIDEN	T				
	INDORSEMENT		V			DATE: 1 A	UG 03	
SAF/MIBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742		AIR 1535	SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3 <sup>RD</sup> FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7002					

## AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD03-00152

**GENERAL**: The applicant appeals for an Honorable Discharge.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains the available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: Upgrade of discharge to Honorable is approved.

**ISSUE**: Applicant contends that his discharge was too harsh because it was based on one isolated incident in 36 months of service. The records indicate the applicant was discharged with a General discharge for Misconduct — conduct prejudicial to good order and discipline. After a thorough and complete consideration of the information submitted by the applicant and information contained in the record, the Board concluded there was sufficient mitigation and extenuation to substantiate upgrade of the discharge. Members are not normally discharged for DWI and it appears that there was a misunderstanding concerning the applicant's departure from the Correctional facility.

**CONCLUSIONS:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

However, in view of the foregoing finding, the Board further concludes that the overall quality of the applicant's service is more accurately reflected by an honorable discharge. The Board concluded that the Reason and Authority of the applicant's discharge should be changed to Secretarial Authority.

Attachment:

Examiner's Brief

# DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD ANDREWS AFB, MD



(Former AB) (HGH A1C)

1. MATTER UNDER REVIEW: Appl rec'd a GEN Disch fr USAF 7 Feb 89 UP AFR 39-10, para 5-47b (Misconduct - Conduct Prejudicial to Good Order and Discipline). Appeals for Honorable Disch.

### 2. BACKGROUND:

a. DOB: 31 May 67. Enlmt Age: 18 5/12. Disch Age: 21 8/12. Educ: HS DIPL. AFQT: N/A. A-65, E-65, G-64, M-74. PAFSC: 55255 - Plumbing Specialist. DAS: 8 Jul 87.

b. Prior Sv: (1) AFRes 26 Nov 85 - 26 Jan 86 (2 months 1 day) (Inactive).

#### SERVICE UNDER REVIEW:

- a. Enlisted as AB 27 Jan 86 for 4 yrs. Svd: 3 Yrs 0 Mo 12 Das, all AMS.
- b. Grade Status: AB 11 Jan 89 (Article 15, 11 Jan 89) Amn - 27 Jul 86
- c. Time Lost: None.
- d. Art 15's: (1) 11 Jan 89, Whiteman AFB, MO Article 134. You did, while undergoing the punishment of correctional custody imposed by a person authorized to do so, did, on or about 29 Dec 88, breach the restraint imposed thereunder by leaving the correctional facility and proceeding to your dormitory room. Reduction to AB. (No appeal) (No mitigation)
  - (2) 23 Dec 88, Whiteman AFB, MO Article 111. You did, on or about 1 Dec 88, at Gate #2 operate a vehicle, to wit: a passenger car, while drunk. Suspended reduction to Amn, forfeiture of \$200.00 pay per month for 1 month, and 30 days correctional custody. (No appeal) (No mitigation)
- e. Additional: None.
- f. CM: None.
- g. Record of SV: 27 Jan 86 26 Jan 87 Gila Bend AFAF 9 (Annual) 27 Jan 87 - 14 Jun 87 Gila Bend AFAF 9 (CRO) 15 Jun 87 - 14 Jun 88 Whiteman AFB 9 (Annual)

(Discharged from Whiteman AFB)

- h. Awards & Decs: AFAM, AFTR.
- i. Stmt of Sv: TMS: (3) Yrs (2) Mos (13) Das TAMS: (3) Yrs (0) Mos (12) Das
- 4. BASIS ADVANCED FOR REVIEW: Appln (DD Fm 293) dtd 14 Mar 03. (Change Discharge to Honorable)

Issue 1: My undesirable discharge was inequitable because it was based on one isolated incident in 36 months of service.

Issue 2: I had received no discipline other than this in 36 months of service. I also not only performed well but also exceeded in many things. I received the Air Force Acheivment (sic) Medal for the first 15 months of service.

#### ATCH

- 1. Applicant's Issues.
- 2. Certificate of Completion.
- 3. Certificate of Recognition.
- 4. DD Form 214.
- 5. Certificate of Recognition.
- 6. Air Force Achievement Medal.
- 7. Request for Three-Day Pass.
- 8. Four Letters of Appreciation.
- 9. DD Form 214.
- 10. Discharge Correspondence.

12MAY03/ia

FD2003-00/52



#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

HEADQUARTERS 351ST COMBAT SUPPORT GROUP (SAC)
WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI 65305-5000

REPLY TO

35# CES/CC

24 Jan 89

SUBJECT:

Notification Letter

To: Airman Basic

- W. I am recommending your discharge from the United States Air Force for Conduct Prejudical to Good Order and Discipline. The authority for this action is AFR 39-WO, paragraph 5-47b. If my recommendation is approved, your service will be characterized as honorable or general. I am recommending that your service be characterized as general.
- 2. My reasons for this action are:
- a. On or about # December 88 you operated a vehicle while drunk, as evidenced by an Article #5 dated 23December #988.
- b. On or about 23 December 88 you breached correctional custody, as evidenced by an Article #5 dated ## January #989.

Copies of the documents to be forwarded to the separation authority in support of this recommendation are attached. The commander exercising SPCM jurisdiction or a higher authority will decide whether you will be discharged or retained in the Air Force, and, if you are discharged, how your service will be characterized. If you are discharged, you will be ineligible for reenlistment in the Air Force.

- 3. You have the right to consult counsel. Military legal counsel has been obtained to assist you. I have made an appointment for you to consult with on 24 Jan 89 at 1300 . You may consult civilian counsel at your own expense.
- 4. You have the right to submit statements in your own behalf. Any statements you want the separation authority to consider must reach me by 6 Feb 89 unless you request and receive an extension for good cause shown. I will send them to the separation authority.
- 5. If you fail to consult counsel or to submit statements in your own behalf, your failure will constitute a waiver of your right to do so.
- 6. You have been scheduled for a medical examination. You must report to

  Base Hospital at 0700 on 25 Jan 89 for the examination.

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE



- 7. Any personal information you furnish in rebuttal is covered by the Privacy Act Statement as explained in AFR 39-40, attachment 6. A copy of AFR 39-40 is available for your use in your Orderly Room.
- 3. Execute the attached acknowledgment and return it to me immediately.



Commander, 35# Civ Engr Sq Section

3 Atch

- 4. AF 3070 dtd 23 Dec 88
- 2. AF 3070 dtd ## Jan 89
- 3. Airman's Acknowledgment