AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD


APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOAMD'SDECISIONAL RATIONAL ARE DISCUSSED ON THEATACHEDAR FORCE DISCHARGE RE GEW BOARO DEGSIONALRATIONALE
REMARKS
Case heard at Andrews AFB, MD.
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board and his right to appeal to the Board for Correction of Military Records.

| SIGNATURE OF BOARD PRESIIENT |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| INDORSEMENT | DATE:30 MAYOB |
|   <br> TO:  <br>  SAF/MIBR <br>  550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 <br>  RANDOLPH AFB, TX $78150-4742$ | FROM:  <br>  SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL <br>  AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD <br>  1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, $3^{\text {ED }}$ FLOOR <br>  ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7002 |

Previous edition will be used.

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to Honorable and for a change in the RE Code and the Reason and Authority for discharge.

The applicant's case was considered by the Discharge Review Board (DRB), at Andrews AFB, MD, on May 13, 2003. The applicant appeared before the DRB without counsel. The following additional exhibits were submitted at the hearing: Exhibit 5: Applicant's Contentions; Exhibit 6: Physical Profile Serial Report

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.
FINDINGS: The DRB denies the requested relief. The DRB finds that the evidence of record and that provided by the applicant does not substantiate an inequity or an impropriety justifying an upgrade of the discharge, a change to the RE Code, or a change in the Reason and Authority for the discharge.

ISSUES: The applicant was discharged with a General service characterization from the Air Force for misconduct or, more specifically, minor disciplinary infractions. He had three letters of reprimand: one for dereliction of duty related to his failure to perform duties he had been directed to perform; one for assault and harassment concerning his attempt to force another airman to give him $\$ 20$ and then pushing him to the ground when the airman refused; and one for failing his dormitory room inspection despite being given an opportunity to clean the room to avoid failing the inspection. He has one letter of counseling for failure to follow orders to bring his identification to the unit sweep urinalysis as he was required to do. He also has one Article 15 for dereliction of duty in connection with his failure to perform his bay orderly duties and for sleeping while on duty. The applicant raised three issues. He complained that the discharge action was too harsh, that he had been singled out for unfair treatment and received improper training, and that he was the victim of racial discrimination.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board (DRB) concluded that none of the issues have merit.
At the outset, it should be noted that the DRB found absolutely no evidence of racial discrimination by any member of the Air Force. The applicant provided no evidence of discrimination and could not provide an explanation concerning his perception in this regard. While there may have been animosity on the part of the applicant's command, that appears to have been the product of factors other than racial discrimination for example, repeated behavioral problems. There is also no indication the applicant was singled out for unfair treatment, subject to overly harsh punishment, or improperly trained regarding the standards expected of him. According to the record, the command took repeated disciplinary action against him only because he repeatedly engaged in misconduct. The actions taken were proportional responses to the misconduct in which the applicant engaged. The applicant attempted to minimize his misconduct by providing descriptions of the various events that made the applicant's actions appear to be significantly less serious (and in some cases, completely different) than the records indicate. The DRB was not convinced by the applicant's testimony, finding it to be inherently improbable, internally inconsistent, and contradictory. For example, the applicant testified that rather than attempting to force the airmen to give him $\$ 20$, he asked the airman-a person he barely knew-if he could "hold" $\$ 20$. Crediting the facts as set forth in the record, the DRB found no inequity or impropriety in the disciplinary actions taken or in the discharge action.

Having found no inequity or impropriety, the DRB declines to upgrade the discharge or change any other aspect of the applicant's record. The requested relief is denied.

## Attachment:

Examiner's Brief

# DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD ANDREWS AFB, MD 

(Former A1C) (HGH A1C)

1. MATTER UNDER REVIEW: Appl rec'd a GEN Disch fr USAF 28 FEB 02 UP AFI 363208, para 5.49 (Misconduct - Minor Disciplinary Infractions). Appeals for Honorable Disch.

## 2. BACKGROUND:

a. $\mathrm{DOB}: 29$ Nov 81. Enlmt Age: 18 4/12. Disch Age: 20 2/12. Educ: HS DIPL. AFQT: N/A. A-40, E-31, G-48, M-28. PAFSC: 4P031 - Pharmacy Apprentice.
DAS: 3 Jan 01.
b. Prior Sv: None.

## 3. SERVICE UNDER REVIEW:

a. Enlisted as $A B 29$ Mar 00 for 6 yrs. Svd: 1 Yrs 11 Mo 0 Das, all AMS.
b. Grade Status: A1C - 12 May 00
c. Time Lost: None.
d. Art 15's: (1) 27 Jun 01, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH - Article 92. You, who knew of your duties, on or about 12 Jun 01 , were derelict in the performance of those duties in that you negligently failed to complete your bay orderly duties in the correct manner, as it was your duty to do, and instead were found asleep in your dorm room. Forfeiture of $\$ 161.96$ pay, 7 days extra duty, and a reprimand.
(No appeal) (No mitigation)
e. Additional: LOR, 13 DEC 01 - Dereliction of duty. LOR, 10 DEC 01 - Assault and harassment. LOR, 01 JUN 01 - Failed dormitory room inspection. LOC, 10 MAY 01 - Failure to follow orders. DDl408, 16 MAR 01 - Traffic ticket for speeding. DD1408, 10 MAR 01 - Traffic ticket for speeding.
f. CM: None.
9. Record of SV : None.
(Discharged from Wright-Patterson AFB)
h. Awards \& Decs: AFTR.
i. Stmt of Sv: TMS: (1) Yrs (11) Mos (0) Das

TAMS: (1) Yrs (11) Mos (0) Das
4. BASIS ADVANCED FOR REVIEW: Appln (DD Fm 293) dtd 9 oct 02. (Change Discharge to Honorable)

ISSUES ATTACHED TO BRIEF.

## ATCH

1. Applicant's Issues.
2. Physical Profile Serial Report.
3. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS ( $X$ as applicable) (PYease prim name and Socral Secunity Number on eerch document.)

| WIL Not be Submitted. PLEASE COMPLETE REVIEW based on avallabae service records. <br> ARE USTED BELOW AND ARE ATTACHED TO THIS APPLCATION: (Cantime on a plain shoet of peper i/ mowe space is needed.) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a Dociment dical waiver stating why I couldnt perforn a duticmyme dicalb. Dgamen 2: |  |  |  |
| f. DOCIMENT 3 |  |  |  |
| 8. ISSUES <br> The Board will consider any issue submitted by you prior to closing the case for deliberation. The Board will also review the case to determine whether there are any lissues that provide a basis for upgrading your discharge. However, the Board is not required to respond in writing to issues of concern to you unless those issues are listed or incopporated by specific reference below. Carefully read the instructions that pertain to Block 8 prior to completing this part of the application. If you need more space, subimit additional issues on an attachment. |  |  |  |
| - Think ma difcharge was a as colly bajed upon My For pon dixlike of phother person whichs me. I. 005 . ot . Pif Fi <br>  <br>  osy badoe and ID win cos the forinendec uos down the to tos <br>  oum we wh the caf so p had soment escort me as in Iuss <br>  pthec oirmin look at my 60 m they orfer that y shouldit $h$ ade bcen ared te I was whiten up for the Nexf the the comander had ogreed to try to hou noe court mar tiate dor somethou thet wos foker ouersosird Iswposedy prahed and trystake honey <br>  <br>  <br>  To han my ouver used thaf apoint the Buz unit 3 ifly fry zing ap ay to olve nae an to I do think ohe paper wrik cecelved lunit on bayoydely was ne cessary) but to sevée |  |  |  |
| I HAVE LISTEO ADDITONAL. ISSUES AS AN ATTACHMENT TO THIS APPUCATION. <br> I PREVIOUSLY SLBMITTED AN APPLLCATION ON Enter date) AND AM COMPLETING THS FORM ${ }^{\text {IN }}$ ORDER TO SUBMIT ADDITIONAL ISSUES THE ABOVE issues supersede all previously summatieb. |  |  |  |
| 9. CERTIFICATION <br> I make tie foregoing statements as part of my application with fall knowhedge of the penalties involved for wilfully making a false ". statement. (U.S. Code, Titte 18, Section 1001, provides that an mbdividual shall be fined under thls title or imprisoned not more than 5 years, or both.) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| UPON COMPIETION, MAR THIS APPLICATION TO APPLICABIE ADDRESS BELOW |  |  |  |
|  | NAVY \& MARINE CORPS <br> Naval Council of Personnel Boards <br> 720 Kennon Street, S.E. <br> Rm. 309 (NRB) <br> Weshington Navy Yard, DC <br> 20374-5023 | AIR FORCE <br> SAF/MIBR <br> 550.C Street West, Sulte 40 <br> Randolph AFB, IX 78150-4742 | COAST GUARD Commandant (G.WPM) 2100 Second Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20593-00 |

fromblock 8
I was given a Article 15 for being in my roan something that everybody on bayorder ly doles the course of the day. I was for too long probably about I might have strayed away for too sleeping on duty They punished me with 7 extra duty dray took almost +1180.00 out of my pay. I wars sup to be able to appeal but my firs seargant th gave me the wrong date. me the date he said he was the one wheres nothing he con do when he really could do something. I think all I should have got for thor
Now to the Last Dr ow un fortunately I fractured my foot and wee in a lot of pain. The doctor save me prescriptions for Tylenol, an otherside effects as Which maker you drowsy, ind motrin. I walked on my crux hes to my job I worked in the pharmacy go home for the rest of the does But Chief Druzbad came in and used some languge that wa 5 uncalled for an knave me stacy at work. Eversody I, talked to that have home Just for that day. And that if I was acer tain color things would hove been different. a prescpiption iside the out the controlled medicotions twas of the com. Kept trying to get me to do it yelling raising

So I'called dowstairs to speak with some one in charge. answered the phone I told him that was trying to get me to do something were I had to stand up. He asked me did I have a waiver I told him yes. He said dort worry about it you wont get in trouble. But a few days later the Chief called me downstairs gave me an Lon and remove d from the pharmacy, and later discharg me. I want to know why was I removed 50 fast right before my 2 year mark they did it purposely so I couldnit use my benefits. On any other airmen before they were discharged they would have had morepunishment for example reclaction in rank having stripes taking. All I w as given wo r anLor so I couldnt defend had areas on to throw me out said they had areas on to throw mould have got the last incident but they knew they. did n thous the court martial way they explained, it in the a case. But has all wrong they dint put but statement was was on Crutches, and was directed gey doctor not to bear weight on cost cArmen doctor not to bear con my behalf:

13 FZBOZ

MEMORANDUM FOR
FROM: 74 MDSS/CC

SUBIECT: Notification Memorandum

1. I am recommending your discharge from the United States Air Force for Misconduct - Minor Disciplinary Infractions. The authority for this action is AFPD 36-32 and AFI 36-3208, paragraph 5.49. If my recommendation is approved, your service will be characterized as honorable or under honorable conditions (general). I am recommending that your service be characterized as under honorable conditions (general).
2. My reasons for this action are:
a. On 10 May 2001, you were notified to report to the medical center auditorium with your Military ID card for a flight drug sweep. You failed to bring your Military ID or your medical center badge, which are violations of Article 92, UCMJ and 74 MDGI 36-102 respectively. You were also told to have your AFMC Command patch on your BDU uniform, a requirement of AFI 36-2903. For this incident you received a letter of counseling dated 10 May 2001.
b. On 31 May 2001 ,
found your dormitory room to be unacceptable during a random dormitory inspection. You were counseled regarding this incident and told that your room would be re-inspected. Upon re-inspection, your room was still found to be unacceptable. For this incident you received a letter of reprimand dated 1 June 2001.
c. On or about 12 June 2001, you were derelict in performing your bay orderly duties and instead were found asleep in your dormitory room. For this incident you received Article 15 punishment on 27 June 2001, with forfeiture of $\$ 161.96$ and 7 days extra duty. This Article 15 was entered into an Unfavorable Information File.
d. On or about 7 September 2001, you physically assaulted and harassed a fellow member of the United States Air Force. For this incident you received a letter of reprimand dated 10 December 2001. This letter was added to your existing' Unfavorable Information File.
e. On 7 December 2001, you were derelict in performing your duties at the outpatient pharmacy by refusing to accomplish tasks involving the filling of controlled prescriptions when told to do so by
egarding the performance of your pharmacy duties. For this incident you received a letter of reprimand dated 13 December 2001. This letter was added to your existing Unfavorable Information File.
3. Copies of the documents to be forwarded to the separation authority in support of this recommendation are attached. The commander exercising SPCM jurisdiction or a higher authority will decide whether you will be discharged or retained in the Air Force and, if you are discharged, how your service will be characterized. If you are discharged, you will be ineligible for reenlistment in the Air Force, and any special pay, bonus, or education assistance funds may be subject to recoupment.
4. You have the right to consult counsel. Military legal counsel has been obtained to assist you. I have made an appointment for you to consult the Area Defense Counsel's office, Building 199, Kittyhawk Area, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH, (937) 257-7841, on 14 Feb- $\Delta 2$ at 0930 hours. You may consult civilian counsel at your own expense.
5. You have the right to submit statements in your own behalf. Any statements you want the separation authority to consider must reach me within 3 working days of your receipt of this letter, unless you request and receive an extension for good cause shown. I will send your statements to the separation authority.
6. If you fail to consult counsel or to submit statements in your own behalf, your failure will constitute a waiver of your right to do so.
7. You have been scheduled for a medical examination. You must report to the 74th Medical Group, Aerospace Medicine, Building 830, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH, at $13 / 5$ on QOFeb-O2 for the examination.
8. Any personal information you furnish in rebuttal is covered by the Privacy Act of 1974. A copy of AFI 36-3208 is available for your use in the orderly room.


Commander, 74th Medical Support Sq

Attachments:

1. Traffic Ticket
2. Letter of Counseling, 10 May 01
3. Letter of Reprimand, 1 Jun 01
4. Article 15,27 Jun 01
5. Letter of Reprimand/UIF w/atch, 10 Dec 01
6. Letter of Reprimand/UIF w/atch, 13 Dec 01
7. UIF Summary
