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GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to Honorable.
The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but o exercise this right.

The attached brief contains the available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to discharge.

FINDINGS: Upgrade of discharge is denied.
The board finds that the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the dis and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was able to identify none that would justify a discharge.

ISSUE: The applicant submitted no issues and requested that the review be completed based on available service record. The Board reviewed the entire record and found no evidence of impropr inequity in this case on which to base an upgrade of discharge. The records indicated applicant re two Article 15's for failure to go. In addition, the applicant received six Letters' of Reprimand fo, on duty (twice), failure to go (twice), and disobeying a direct order. He also received a Record of Individual Counseling and two Memorandums For Record for failing an upgrade exam, sleeping o training class, disrespectful towards an NCO and sleeping on duty (twice). The DRB opined that these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his negative/repetiti behavior. The Board concluded the disciplinary infractions were a significant departure from the expected of all military members. The Board found no evidence of impropriety or inequity in this which to base an upgrade of discharge.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent wit the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings the board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.

Attachment:
Examiner's Brief

# DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD ANDREWS AFB, MD 

MISSING DOCUME
TS
(Former AMN) (HGH AlC)

1. MATTER UNDER REVIEW: Appl rec'd a GEN Disch fr USAF 00/09/22 UP AFI

36-3208, para 5.49 (Misconduct - Minor Disciplinary Infractions). Appeals for Hor Disch.

## 2. BACKGROUND:

a. DOB: 78/09/27. Enlmt Age: 19 9/12. Disch Age: 21. Educ: HS DIP. AFQT: N/A. A-79, E-72, G-82, M-65. PAFSC: X1A031 - In-Flight Refuelir Apprentice. DAS: 99/03/28.
b. Prior Sv: (1) AFRes 98/07/16 - 98/07/28 (13 Days) (Inactive).

## 3. SERVICE UNDER REVIEW:

a. Enld as AMN for 6 yrs. Svd: 2 Yrs 1 Mo 24 Das, all AMS.
b. Grade Status: AMN - 00/08/04 (Article 15, 00/08/04) A1C - 98/09/12
c. Time Lost: None.
d. Art 15's:
(1) 00/08/25, Vacation, McConnell AFB, KS - Article You did, on or about 21 Aug 00 , without authori to go at the time prescribed to your appointed duty. Forfeiture of $\$ 150.00$ pay per month for $t$ months. (No appeal) (No mitigation)
(2) 00/08/04, McConnell AFB, KS - Article 86. You or about 7 Jul 00 , without authority, fail to $g$
86.
y, fail lace of time prescribed to your appointed place of duty Article 107. You did, on or about 7 Jul 00 , wi intent to deceive, make to sSgt -----, an offic statement, to wit: you were late to duty becau were discussing an issue with your dorm manager statement was totally false, and was then known to be so false. Reduction to AMN, and suspended forfeiture of $\$ 250.00$ pay per month for two mon has. (Appeal denied) (No mitigation)
e. Additional: (EXAMINER'S NOTE: INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM NOTIFI MEMORANDUM)

```
LOR, 22 AUG 00 - Failure to go.
LOR, 12 JUL 00 - Disobeyed a direct order.
MFR, 10 JUL 00 - sleeping on duty.
LOR, 25 JAN 00 - Sleeping on duty.
LOR, 2 MAR 00 - Failure to go.
LOR, }5\mathrm{ FEB 99 - Failure to go.
MFR, 3 FEB 99 - sleeping on duty.
LOR, 1 DEC 98 - Sleeping during a training class atd lying
about it to supervisor.
RIC, 19 NOV 98 - Failed upgrade exam, sleeping during a
                                training class, and disrespectful towards
                                an NCO.
```

f. CM: None.
g. Record of SV: 98/07/29-00/03/28 McConnell AFB 3 (Initial) REF
(Discharged from McConnell AFB)
h. Awards \& Decs: AFOUA, AFTR.
i. Stmt of Sv: TMS: (2) Yrs (2) Mos (7) Das

TAMS: (2) Yrs (1) Mo (24) Das
4. BASIS ADVANCED FOR REVIEW: Appln (DD Fm 293) dtd 02/07/22. (Change Discharge to Honorable)

NO ISSUES SUBMITTED.

## ATCH

None.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS 22D AIR REFUELING WING (AMC)<br>STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE<br>McCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS

MEMORANDUM FOR 22 ARW/CC
FROM: 22 ARW/JA
SUBJECT: Involuntary Discharge

1. FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES: On 15 Sep 00, Commander of the 349th Air Refueling Squadron, recommended that be involuntarily discharged from the United States Air Force pursuant to AFI 36-3208, parag aph 5.49, for minor disciplinary infractions. recommended that gen an under honorable conditions (general) discharge without probation and rehabilitation.

## 2. EVIDENCE:

a. Evidence for the Government. During his enlistment in the Air Force, engaged in a pattern of minor disciplinary infractions. A brief description of these infract ons follows:
(1) On or about 16 Nov 98, anded an upgrade training exam. Furthermore, on or about 16 Nov 98 , he was derelict in his duties, in that he slept duri g a training class. Finally, on or about 16 Nov 98, he was disrespectful towards an NCO by not following his instruction to stand in the back of the class. An AF Form 174 (Recor of Individual Counseling), dated 19 Nov 98 , evidences these failures.
(2) On or about 25 Nov 98, mailed an upgrade training ex m . Furthermore, on or about 25 Nov 98, he was derelict in his duties, in that he slept during a training class. Also, lied to his supervisor when his supervisor questioned him a out it. A Letter of Reprimand (LOR), dated 1 Dec 98, evidences these failures.
(3) On or about 1 Feb 99, failed to go to his appointed place of d Furthermore, on or about 1 Feb 99, he was derelict in his duties, in that he was sleeping on d These failures are evidenced by an LOR, dated 5 Feb 99 ; response, dated 10 99; and a Memorandum for Record (MFR) by dated 3 Feb 99.
(4) On or about 22 Dec 99 was derelict in his duties, in that he sleeping on duty. An LOR, dated 25 Jan 00, evidences this failure.
(5) On divers occasions between 28 Feb 00 and 1 Mar 00 , faile go to his appointed place of duty. He was directed back to work by personnel at sick call but did not return nor report to duty for the next two days. An LOR, dated 2 Mar 00, evidences these failures.
(6) On or about 20 Jun 00 disobeyed a direct order by his sup commissioned officer. An LOR, dated 12 Jul 00, evidences this failure.
(7) On or about 10 Jul 00 was derelict in his duties, in that he sleeping on duty while aboard an in-flight aircraft. An MFR, dated 10 Jul 00, evidences this failure.
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(8) On or about 7 Jul 00 , ailed to go to his appointed place of was

Furthermore, on or about 7 Jul 00, he made a false official statement to These actions are evidenced by an AF Form 3070 (Record of Nonjudicial Punish hent Proceedings), dated 18 Aug 00; an AF Form 1058 (Unfavorable Information File Action), fated 17 Aug 00; and AF Form 1137 (Unfavorable Information File Summary), undated.
(9) On or about 21 Aug 00 , failed to go to his appointed plate of duty. An LOR, dated 22 Aug 00; an AF Form 366 (Record of Proceedings of Vacatio of Suspended Nonjudicial Punishment), dated 28 Aug 00; and an AF Form 1058, dated 25 Au 00, evidence this failure.
b. Evidence for the Respondent. On 12 Sep 00 acknowledged his ri counsel and to submit written statements in his own behalf. On
his right to counsel and did not submit matters in his own behalf.
3. DISCUSSION: As the separation authority, you must make four determinations: whether a basis for discharge exists; (2) whether an involuntary discharge is appropriate in case; (3) if discharge is appropriate, how the discharge should be characterized; and (4) why probation and rehabilitation ( $P \& R$ ) is appropriate.
a. Basis for Discharge: AFI 36-3208, paragraph 5.49, states that airmen who engage in a. pattern of minor disciplinary infractions are subject to discharge. The offenses listed a make Amp subject to discharge under this provision.
b. Appropriateness of Discharge: AFI 36-3208, paragraph 6.1.1, lists the factors
 you must consider in making this determination. Among those factors are the seriousness o the circumstances that make subject to discharge, whether those circumstances are to continue or recur, and whether he has the ability to perform his duties effectively now an the future. demonstrated an unwillingness or inability to comply with the standards required of Air F members. He has failed to take advantage of the second chances his unit offered him. continued misconduct demonstrates that he is unsuitable for military service. After a lett counseling, six LORs and an Article 15, the unit has exhausted their attempts at rehabilitation. It is likely that he will continue to commit offenses if retained, and, as a result would be unab e to perform his duties effectively. Therefore, discharge is appropriate.
c. Characterization of the Discharge: AFI 36-3208, paragraph 1.17.3, requires tha consider the airman's age, length of service, grade, aptitude, physical and mental condition the standards of acceptable conduct and performance for airmen in determining the $p$ characterization. Paragraph 1.18 .2 states that when an airman's service has been faithful o whole, yet significant negative aspects of the airman's conduct or performance of duty outy the positive aspects of his record, an under honorable conditions (general) dischar appropriate. series of offenses in this enlistment put him far below the lev acceptable conduct: These offenses demonstrate his disregard for good order and disciplin general discharge accurately reflects his service.
d. Probation and Rehabilitation: Under AFI 36-3208, paragraph 7.3; a member must hav potential to serve satisfactorily and the capacity to be rehabilitated before an offer of P\& appropriate. consistent history of offenses despite the corrective efforts
you squadron indicates that an offer of $P \& R$ would not be appropriate in this case.
4. RECOMMENDATION: The evidence in the file is factually and legally sufficie support recommendation. I recommend you sign the attached letter dire

- be involuntarily discharged from the United States Air Force pursuant to AF 3208, paragraph 5.49 , with an under honorable conditions (general) discharge without prob and rehabilitation.



## Attachments:

## 1. Letter Directing Discharge

2. AFI 36-3208 Discharge Package

I have carefully reviewed the foregoing legal opinion in the case of
I concur


DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS 22D AIR REFUELING WING (AMC)
STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE
McCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS

## MEMORANDUM FOR

FROM: 349 ARS/CC
SUBJECT: Notification Memorandum

1. I am recommending your discharge from the United States Air Force for Minor Discipl pary Infractions, in accordance with AFPD 36-32 and AFI 36-3208, paragraph 5.49. If my recommendation is approyed, your service will be characterized as either honorable or 1 der honorable conditions (general). I am recommending that your discharge be characterize it as under honorable conditions (general).
2. My reasons for this action are:
a. You, on or about 16 Nov 98, failed an upgrade training exam. Furthermore, or or about 16 Nov 98 , you were derelict in your duties, in that you slept during the training $d$ ass. Finally, on or about 16 Nov 98, you were disrespectful towards an NCO by not followin his instruction to stand in the back of the class. An AF Form 174 (Record of Indiv lual Counseling), dated 19 Nov 98 , evidences these failures.
b. You, on or about 25 Nov 98, failed an upgrade training exam. Furthermore, or about 25 Nov 98 , you were derelict in your duties, in that you slept during the training c ass. Also, you lied to your supervisor when he questioned you about it. A Letter of Reprir and (LOR), dated 1 Dec 98 , evidences these failures.
c. You, on or about 1 Feb 99 , failed to go to your appointed place of duty. Furtherm pre, on or about 1 Feb 99 , you were derelict in your duties, in that you were sleeping on duty. T ese failures are evidenced by an LOR, dated 5 Feb 99 ; your response, dated 10 Feb 99 ; a d a Memorandum for Record (MFR) by SSgt dated 3 Feb 99.
d. You, on or about $22 \operatorname{Dec} 99$, were derelict in your duties, in that you were sleepin on duty. An LOR, dated 25 Jan 00, evidences this failure.
e. You, on divers occasion between 28 Feb 00 and 1 Mar 00 , failed to go to appointed place of duty. You were directed back to work by personnel at sick call but did not return nor report for the next two days. An LOR, dated 2 Mar 00, evidences these failures.
f. You, on or about 20 Jun 00 , disobeyed a direct order by your superior commissi ned officer. An LOR, dated 12 Jul 00, evidences this failure.
g. You, on or about 10 Jul 00 , were derelict in your duties, in that you were sleepin
h. You, on or about 7 Jul 00 , failed to go to your appointed place of duty. Furthern ore, on or about 7 Jul 00, you made a false official statement to ne actions are evidenced by an AF Form 3070 (Record of Nonjudicial Punishment Proceed gs), dated 18 Aug 00; an AF Form 1058 (Unfavorable Information File Action), dated 17 Au 00 , and AF Form 1137 (Unfavorable Information File Summary), undated.
i. You, on or about 21 Aug 00 , failed to go to your appointed place of duty. T ese actions are evidenced by a Letter of Reprimand (LOR), dated 22 Aug 00; an AF Form 366 (Record of Proceedings of Vacation of Suspended Nonjudicial Punishment), dated 28 Au 00 ; and an AF Form 1058, dated 25 Aug 00.
3. Copies of the documents to be forwarded to the separation authority in support of recommendation are attached. The commander exercising SPCM jurisdiction or a $h$ authority will decide whether you will be discharged or retained in the Air Force and if yo discharged, how your service will be characterized. You are ineligible for reenlistment i Air Force if your discharge is approved.
4. You have the right to consult military counsel. Military legal counsel will be made avai to assist you, at no expense to you. I have made an appointment for you to consult with the Defense Counsel (ADC) at McConnell AFB KS on $\qquad$ 00 at $\qquad$ L. You contact the ADC at ext. 4375 . You may consult civilian counsel at your own expense.
5. You have the right to submit matters in your own behalf. Any statements you wan the separation authority to consider must reach me by $\qquad$ 00 at $\qquad$ $L$ unless request and receive an extension for good cause shown. Any statements or other matters you submit will be forwarded to the separation authority.
6. If you fail to consult counsel or to submit statements in your own behalf, your failure this
 constitute a waiver of your right to do so.
7. You have been scheduled for a mandatory medical appointment. You are to report to 22d Medical Squadron, Physical Exams Section, on $\qquad$ 00 at $\qquad$ L. Take medical records with you.
8. If you live in base housing, you must contact the housing office immediately upon recei, the this notification.
9. Any personal information you furnish in rebuttal is covered by the Privacy Act of 1974 copy of AFI 36-3208 is available for your use in your squadron orderly room.
10. Execute the attached acknowledgment and return it to me immediately.


Attachments:

1. Receipt of Notification Memorandum
2. Statement of Understanding
3. AF Form 174, dated 19 Nov 98
4. LOR, dated 1 Dec 98
5. LOR, dated 5 Feb 99
6. Your response, dated 10 Feb 99
7. MFR by dated 3 Feb 99
8. LOR, dated 25 Jan 00
9. LOR, dated 2 Mar 00
10. LOR, dated 12 Jul 00
11. MFR, dated 10 Jul 00
12. AF Form 3070, dated 18 Aug 00
13. AF Form 1058, dated 17 Aug 00
14. AF Form 1137, undated
15. LOR, dated 22 Aug 00
16. AF Form 366, dated 28 Aug 00
17. AF Form 1058, dated 25 Aug 00.
