	AI	R FORCE DISCHARGE I	REVIEW BOA	RD 1	HEAR	ING REC	ORD		
NAME OF SERVICE MI		GRADE SSGT		AFSN/SS	AN				
TYPE GEN PERSONAL APPEARANCE COUNSEL NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION YES NO				X RECORD REVIEW ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL					
X									
MEMBERS SITTING				HON GEN UOTHC OTHER			DENY		
									X
									X
				 					X
									X
and the second	the second second								X
ISSUES A01.13, A01.43, A01	, A01.53,	INDEX NUMBER A66.00		EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD 1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD					
A01.57				2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE					GE
HEARING DATE				3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION					
02-12-18		FD2002-0236		4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE					
									OF
						RECORDING (HEARING
A SUBBLIDE COST		SIONAL RATIONAL ARE DISCUSSED ON				GE REVIEW BOA	RD DECISIONAL	RATIONALE.	
Case heard at Wash	ington, D.	c.							
Advise applicant of t submit an applicatio		n of the Board, the right to FBCMR.	o a personal ap	pear	ance v	vith/withou	ıt counsel,	and the ri	ght to
SIGNATURE OF RECORDER			SIGNATURE OF BO	ARD P	RESIDEN	т			
A STATE OF THE STA					.,		VID	.	
St. 19.7	1	INDORSEMENT	1					DATE: 02-	12-18
TO: SAF/MIBR 550 C STREET W RANDOLPH AFE	FROM:	SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3 RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7002							

CASE NUMBER

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD2002-0236

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board but declined to exercise this right.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: Upgrade of discharge is denied.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record or that provided by applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

Issues. Applicant was discharged for misconduct, namely drug abuse. Member had a urinalysis that came back positive for the presence of cocaine and phencycladine (PCP) above the DoD cut-off level, as referenced in documents submitted to the board by the applicant. Applicant infers his discharge was improper because the urinalysis was in error and he was not offered a re-test. He also now infers he was not involved in such conduct. He provides no documentary evidence however to support his contentions, and in the absence of evidence that proper, standard test procedures were deviated from, and because the discharge documents are unavailable for review, the Board applied the presumption of regularity concerning the integrity of the test process and it's results, and the propriety of the discharge itself. Furthermore, applicant was a noncommissioned officer at the time of the alleged misconduct. Applicant also cites the case of Wood v. Secretary of Defense as a basis for receipt of an honorable discharge; the "Wood" doctrine does not apply in this case due to the apparent presence of illegal drugs in member's system when subjected to a military urinalysis during a period of military duty. Additionally, the record shows, again based on documents submitted by applicant, at the time of the discharge member consulted counsel and waived his right to have his case heard by an administrative discharge board, thus not attempting to establish his innocence when he had the opportunity. At the time of the discharge, the Air Force's drug policy was well publicized and members were continually made aware that illegal drug use was not tolerated. The Board noted that drug abuse is not compatible with Air Force standards; the seriousness of member's misconduct and record of other misconduct warrant the characterization of service he received. The Board could find no wrongful action by the Air Force and concludes the discharge was proper.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings the board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.

Attachment:

Examiner's Brief

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD ANDREWS AFB, MD



(Former SSGT) (HGH SSGT)

MISSING DOCUMENTS

1. MATTER UNDER REVIEW: Appl rec'd a UOTH Disch fr USAFR 96/10/03 UP AFI 36-3209 (Misconduct - Commission of a Serious Offense - Drug Abuse). Appeals for Honorable Disch.

2. BACKGROUND:

- a. DOB: 65/11/12. Enlmt Age: 18 4/12. Disch Age: 30 10/12. Educ: HS DIPL. AFQT: N/A. A-Unknown, E-Unknown, G-Unknown, M-Unknown. PAFSC: 64551 Material Storage and Distribution Specialist. DAS: Unknown.
 - b. Prior Sv: (1) AFRes 84/03/30 84/11/15 (7 months 16 days) (Inactive).
- (2) Enlisted as AB 84/11/16 for 4 yrs. Svd: 4 yrs 0 months 0 days, all AMS.
- (3) Enlisted AFRes 88/11/16 for 2 yrs. Reenlisted AFRes 90/02/04 for 6 yrs. Svd: 6 yrs 6 months 17 days, of which AMS is 6 months 16 days. AMN-(APR Indicates): 84/11/16-85/11/15. AIC-(APR Indicates): 85/11/16-86/06/26. SRA 87/11/16. SGT 88/11/01. SSGT 89/07/01. APRs: 9,9,9.

3. SERVICE UNDER REVIEW:

- a. Reenlisted AFRes as SSGT 95/06/03 for 2 yrs. Svd: 01 Yr 04 Mos 0 Das, of which AMS is 0 Yrs 0 Mos 0 Das.
 - b. Grade Status: None.
 - c. Time Lost: None.
 - d. Art 15's: None.
 - e. Additional: NONE.
 - f. CM: None.
 - g. Record of SV: 92/10/01 94/10/26 Andrews AFB 4 (CRO)

(Discharged from Robins AFB)

- h. Awards & Decs: AFTR, AFLSAR, AFGCM.
- i. Stmt of Sv: TMS: (12) Yrs (06) Mos (04) Das TAMS: (04) Yrs (06) Mos (16) Das

- 4. BASIS ADVANCED FOR REVIEW: Appln (DD Fm 293) dtd 02/04/27. (Change Discharge to Honorable)
- Issue 1: Discharge characterization is improper. It should be honorable in accordance with AFI 36-3209, para A2.2 et seq; AFR 20-10, para 19e; DODD 1332.28 para 4 and Wood v. Secretary of Defense.
- Issue 2: The results of my urin test was an error and I was not afforded the opportunity of a re-test.
- Issue 3: I am cordially requesting your assistance in the present matter pertaining to my current discharge from the Air Force Reserves. Unfortunately, I received an other than Honorable Conditions Discharge from the 459th LSS, Andrew (sic) Air Force Base, in Maryland.
- I was a victim of an erroneous urinalysis test. The results were erroneous, and I was denied the opportunity for a retest.
- I have always held my standards high, and I have never involved myself in such conduct. This is apparent in the way I conducted myself during my Active Duty time in the Air Force, and after my erroneous discharge, I have never been in any trouble as a civilian.
- I have been subjected to other drug test subsequent to the erroneous one, and I have always passed these tests. Evidence is enclosed with this request.
- I am a citizen and I have served my country honorably. I respectfully request your assistance in reviewing my records, and upgrading my discharge to Honorable.

ATCH

- 1. Applicant's Letter to the Air Force Discharge Review Board (AFDRB).
- 2. HQ AFRes/JAS Letter, 05 Jun 96.
- 3. Drug Test Information, 02 Feb 01.
- 4. Two Letters of Appreciation.
- 5. Four Character References.
- 6. Air Force Commendation Medal.
- 7. Letter of Recognition.
- 8. Certificate of Appointment to Sergeant.
- 9. Certificate of Recognition.
- 10. Letter of Appreciation.
- 11. Squadron Below The Zone Promotion.
- 12. Letter of Appreciation.
- 13. Employee Performance Appraisal.
- 14. Air Force Performance Reports.
- 15. Employee Information.

FD2002-0236

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE RESERVE 155 2ND STREET ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA 31098-1635

RESERVE ORDER

19 September 1996

The Air Force relieves \$60.000 (AFSC: 2S051; functional account code: 4TB100; position control number: \$60.000 (AFSC: 2S051; functional account code: 4TB100; position control number: \$60.000 (AFSC: 2S051; from assignment 459 LSS, Andrews AFB MD and discharges him from the United States Air Force Reserve effective 03 October 1996. Service is characterized as Under Other Than Honorable Conditions. Mailing address:

Authority AFI 36-3209, Misconduct, Commission of a Serious Offense, Drug Abuse. Recallstment eligibility status: INELIGIBLE.

FOR THE COMMANDER



USAF

Military Personnel Division Directorate of Personnel

040208

DISTRIBUTION;

5-Indiv

1-ARPC/DSMM

1-AFRES/DPMB

1-AFRES/JAC

2-Unit Concerned

2-MPF Concerned

RO A-238

B 3

INDEX=DISCHARGE

09/19/1996 PG 1