X RECORD REVIEW \$ 4. 40 600 NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION NO (0.00) 111111111111 UOTHC MEMBERS SITTING OTHER BON GEN

فالمتسجعة فأستألفته فترجله لاشتريها وستعكث فنعت	and the production of the second s	25	
		X	
		×	
		x	
		X	
A94.53	A67.30	1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD 2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE	
HEARING DATE 01 04 19	CASE NUMBER FD01-00068	3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION 4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD	
		ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPERANCE HEARING	j
REMARKS	NUMBER OF THE OFFICE AND	en de la completa de Completa de la completa de la complet	

Case heard at Washington, D.C.

YES

X

Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.

SIGNATURE OF RECORDER	SIGNATI	RE OFBOARD FRESHENT
		and the second
an me martin berginge have also in the martines and	Manual and a state of the second state	the the shirt of the second start of the same should be an an a start of the second start of the second
	MAR KATADA	
To:	FROM:	المستخدمات هذه الامترار مراجع مشكل <u>العروب المراجعة مستخدمة المراجع المستخدمة المستحين مشيح الم</u> مكور متاريحين أو آست ممكن
SAF/MIBR		SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL
550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40		AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD
RANDOLPH AFE , TX 78150-4742		1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3 RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7002
AFHQ FORM 0-2077, JAN 00	(EF-V2)	Previous edition will be used.

DENT

The attached brief contains the available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDINGS: Upgrade of discharge is denied.

The Board finds that neither evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety which would justify a change of discharge.

The applicant's issues are listed in the attached brief.

Issue. The applicant cited his desire to receive the G.I. Bill benefits as justification for upgrade. The DRB noted that when the applicant applied for these benefits, he signed a statement (DD Form 2366, on May 23, 1995) that he understood he must receive an Honorable discharge to receive future educational entitlements. The Board was sympathetic to the impact the loss of these benefits was having on the applicant, but this is not a matter of inequity or impropriety which would warrant an upgrade. The records indicated the applicant received an Article 15, a Letter of Reprimand, four Letters of Counseling, and was court-martialed for misconduct. The misconduct included unlawfully carrying on his person a concealed weapon (a loaded semi-automatic handgun), failing to go at the time prescribed to his appointed place of duty on several occasions, and violating curfew. The Board concluded the misconduct was a significant departure from conduct expected of all military members. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

CONCLUSIONS: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings the board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge, thus the applicant's discharge should not be changed.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief

ED-01-00068

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD ANDREWS AFB, MD

(Former AB)

1. MATTER UNDER REVIEW: Appl rec'd a GEN Disch fr USAF 98/09/10 UP AFI 36-3208, para 5.52.3 (Misconduct - Commission of a Serious Offense). Appeals for Honorable Disch.

2. BACKGROUND:

a. DOB: 75/02/12. Enlmt Age: 20 2/12. Disch Age: 23 6/12. Educ: HS DIPL. AFQT: N/A. A-72, E-68, G-57, M-80. PAFSC: 2A333A - Tactical Aircraft Maintenance Apprentice. DAS: 98/01/27.

b. Prior Sv: AFRes 95/04/26 - 95/05/09 (14 days)(Inactive).

3. SERVICE UNDER REVIEW:

a. Enld as AB 95/05/10 for 4 yrs. Svd: 3 Yrs 4 Mo 1 Das, of which AMS is 3 Yrs 2 Mos 1 Day (excludes 2 months lost time)

b. Grade Status: AB - 98/08/07 (SPCMO# 13, 98/08/09) A1C - 96/09/10 AMN - (EPR Indicates): 95/05/10-97/03/24

c. Time Lost: 98/06/28-98/08/28 (2 months).

d. Art 15's: (1) 98/01/16, RAF Lakenheath, UK - Article 86. You did,

o/a

19 Dec 97, w/o authority, fail to go at the time prescribed to your appointed place of duty. Rdn to Amn (susp till 15 May 98), and a reprimand. (No appeal) (No mitigation)

e. Additional: none.

f. CM: Special Courts Martial Order No.13 - 98 Aug 07. CHARGE: Article 92,134. Plea: Guilty. Finding: Guilty.

> Specification: Did, at or near Hampton, Virginia, o/a 28 Jun 98, unlawfully carry on or about his person a concealed weapon, to wit: a loaded semi-automatic handgun. Sentence adjudged on 23 July 98: 75 days confinement, reduction to airman basic, and forfeiture of \$500.00 pay per month for two months.

g. Record of SV: 95/05/10 97/03/24 RAF Lakenheath 2 (Initial)REF 97/03/25 97/12/07 RAF Lakenheath 3 (CRO) (Discharged from Langley AFB)